3. Regular Languages

The family of regular languages all finite language closed under union, concatenation and closure.

Three equivalent **descriptions** on relular languages regular expression finite automata regular grammar

Section 3.1 regular expression relular language Section 3.2 finite automata regular expression \leftrightarrow finite automata Section 3.3 regular grammar finite automata \leftrightarrow regular grammar Section 3.4 deterministic finite automata \subseteq finite automata finite automata \rightarrow deterministic finite automata Section 3.5 some decision problems on regular languages Section 3.6

lexical analysis

Kwang-Moo Choe

3.1 Regular Expression Language description any finite means of specifying languages <u>finite</u> number of <u>finitely</u> structured elements

writing down all the sentences finite language rewriting system infinite language

 $L \subseteq V^*$ languages over V countably infinite {0, 1}* $2^L \subseteq 2^{V^*}$ family of languages over V uncountably infinite {0, 1}^M no description in general

regular expression over an alphabet Vwell-formed expressionarguments $\in V \cup \{\underline{\varepsilon}, \underline{\emptyset}\}$ operators*closure·oncatenationUU

Let *E* be a string over $V \cup \{\underline{\varepsilon}, \underline{\emptyset}, *, \cdot, \cup, \}$ (1) E is a regular primary over V, if <u>symbol</u> in $V \cup \{\underline{\varepsilon}, \underline{\emptyset}\}$; or (E_1) E_1 is a <u>regular expression</u> over V. (2) E is a regular factor over V, if regular primary over V; or $E_1^* = E_1$ is a <u>regular factor</u> over V. (3) E is a regular term over V, if <u>regular factor</u> over V; or $E_1 \cdot E_2$ E_1 is a <u>regular</u> term and $\underline{E_2}$ is a regular factors over V. (4) E is a regular expression over V, if regular term over V; or $E_1 \cup E_2$ E_1 is a <u>regular expression</u> and $\underline{E_2}$ is a regular term over V. $\langle P \rangle \rightarrow \underline{\emptyset} / \underline{\varepsilon} / \langle V \rangle / (\langle E \rangle)$ $\langle F \rangle \rightarrow \langle P \rangle / \langle F \rangle^*$ $\langle T \rangle \rightarrow \langle F \rangle / \langle T \rangle \cdot \langle F \rangle$ $\langle E \rangle \rightarrow \langle T \rangle / \langle E \rangle \cup \langle T \rangle$ $\langle E \rangle \rightarrow \underline{\emptyset} / \underline{\varepsilon} / \langle V \rangle / (\langle E \rangle) /$ $\langle E \rangle \cup \langle E \rangle / \langle E \rangle \cdot \langle E \rangle / \langle E \rangle^*$

L(E) language denoted by a regular expression E. $(1a) L(\underline{\emptyset}) = \emptyset.$ $(1b) L(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \{\varepsilon\}.$ $(1c) L(a) = \{a\} \forall a \in V.$ $(1d) L((E)) = L(E) \forall \text{ regular expression } E \text{ over } V$ $(2) L(E^*) = (L(E))^* \forall \text{ regular factor } E \text{ over } V$ $(3) L(E_1 \cdot E_2) = L(E_1) \cdot L(E_2) \forall r.t. E_1 \text{ and } r.f. E_2 \text{ over } V$ $(4) L(E_1 \cup E_2) = L(E_1) \cup L(E_2) \forall r.e. E_1 \text{ and } r.t. E_2 V$

regular language L over V L = L(E) for some regular expression E over V

Fact 3.2 Any *finite* language is regular.

A language family \mathbb{F} is effectively closed under n-ary operation f, if any n-tuple D_1, \ldots, D_n of language descriptions, can be transformed into a description of the language $f(L(D_1), \ldots, L(D_n))$.

A language family \mathbb{F} is **closed** under f if $L_1, \ldots, L_n \in \mathbb{F}$ implies $f(L_1, \ldots, L_n) \in \mathbb{F}$.

closed vs effectively closed

Kwang-Moo Choe

Lemma 3.3 Let E be a regular expression over V. E can be transformed in time O(|E|) into a regular expression that denotes $L(E)^*$.

Any pair of regular expressions E_1 and E_2 can be transformed in time $O(|E_1| + |E_2|)$ into regular expressions that denotes $L(E_1)L(E_2)$ and $L(E_1) \cup L(E_2)$.

 $(E)^*, (E_1)(E_2), (E_1) \cup (E_2).$

Theorem 3.4 (**Kleene**) For any alphabet V, the **family of regular languages** over V is the **smallest** family of languages over V that contains all finite language over V and is (**effectively**) **closed** under <u>closure</u>, <u>concatenation</u>, and <u>finite union</u>.

Two language descriptions D_1 and D_2 are equivalent, if $L(D_1) = L(D_2)$; inequivalent, otherwise.

Fact 3.5 For any regular expression there exists a **countably infinite** number of <u>equivalent</u> regular expressions.

Proof. $E, E \cup E, E \cup E \cup E, \ldots$

Given any class of language description D, any description in D usually has a countably infinite number of equivalent descriptions. (renaming of symbols)

Kwang-Moo Choe

A language description D is **ambiguous** if some sentence in L(D) is **described in** <u>two</u> ways. A description D is **unambiguous** if it is not ambiguous.

(1)
$$\emptyset$$
, ε , a and (E) are unambiguous, if
 $\forall a \in V, E \text{ is } \underline{unambiguous}, respectively.$
(2) E^* is unambiguous if E is $\underline{unambiguous}$ and
 $\forall x \in L(E^*) \exists one n \ge 0, \exists one sequence (x_1, \dots, x_n)$
 $. \ni . x = x_1 \dots x_n, 1 \le i \le n, x_i \in L(E).$
(3) E_1E_2 is unambiguous, if
(a) $L(E_1E_2) = \emptyset$; or
(b) if E_1, E_2 are $\underline{unambiguous}, and$
 $\forall x \in L(E_1E_2), \exists one (y, z) \in L(E_1) \times L(E_2)$
 $. \ni. yz = x.$
(3) $E_1 \cup E_2$ is unambiguous, if
 E_1, E_2 are $\underline{unambiguous}, L(E_1) \cap L(E_2) = \emptyset.$

ambiguity in language description it may have two different meanings enhanced description power (0∪1)*(000∪111)(0∪1)*

unambiguity of language description may reduce the descriptive power

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

description power of unambiguous regular language same as unrestricted one No "inherently ambiguous" regular languages

Theorem 3.6 Any regular expression can be transformed into unambiguous one. **Proof**

 $regular expression \Rightarrow deterministic automaton unambiguous automaton ⇒ unambiguous r.e. deterministic automaton ⊆ unambiguous automaton$

The descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 are **at least as descriptive as** those in \mathbb{D}_2 , if

$$\forall D_2 \in \mathbb{D}_2, \ \exists D_1 \in \mathbb{D}_1, \ L(D_1) = L(D_2).$$
$$(L(\mathbb{D}_1) \supseteq L(\mathbb{D}_2))$$

The description in \mathbb{D}_1 are **more descriptive as** those in \mathbb{D}_2 , if the descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 are at least as descriptive as those in \mathbb{D}_2 but

$${}^{\exists}D_1 \in \mathbb{D}_1, \, {}^{\exists}D_2 \in \mathbb{D}_2, \, L(D_1) = L(D_2).$$
$$(L(\mathbb{D}_1) \supset L(\mathbb{D}_2))$$

The descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 are **as descriptive as** those in \mathbb{D}_2 , if the descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 are at least as descriptive as those \mathbb{D}_2 , and vice versa.

$$(L(\mathbb{D}_1) = L(\mathbb{D}_2)).$$

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

The descriptions in \mathbb{D}_{l} are **at least as succinct as** those in \mathbb{D}_{2} , if

 $\forall D_2 \in \mathbb{D}_2, \ \exists D_1 \in \mathbb{D}_1, \ L(D_1) = L(D_2),$

the size of D_1 is at most linear in the size of D_2 . The descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 are **as succinct as**(or **equivalent in succinctness**) those in \mathbb{D}_2 , if ...

Let f be a function: natural numbers \rightarrow positive reals The descriptions in \mathbb{D}_1 can be f(n) more succinct than those in \mathbb{D}_2 , if $\exists L_1, \ldots, L_n, \ldots$ each L_n has description in \mathbb{D}_1 , size of L_n is O(n) but the equivalent description in \mathbb{D}_2 are of size at least f(n).

Proposition 3.7 There exist a constant c > 0 and an infinite sequence of regular languages L_1, L_2, \ldots over {0, 1} such that each L_n is denoted by an **ambiguous regular expression** of length O(n) but any **unambiguous regular expression** denoting L_n must have length at least $2^{c \cdot n}$.

(Ambiguous) regular expressions are 2ⁿ more succinct than unambiguous regular expressions.

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

3.2 Finite Automata Let M = (V, P) be a rewriting system. $Q \cup \Sigma = V, Q \cap \Sigma = \emptyset, q_{s} \in Q, and F \subseteq Q.$ $M = (Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ is a finite automaton with state alphabet *Q*, Σ, input alphabet initial state $q_{s'}$ set of final states F, P, ifrules $qx \rightarrow p$ $q, p \in Q, x \in \Sigma^*$. x transition(transition on x) from state q to p. configuration(instantaneous description) of M $qw \in Q\Sigma^*$. QW

 $\begin{array}{ll} qw & \textit{initial for } w \in \Sigma^*, \textit{ if } q = q_s. \\ qw & \textit{accepting, if } w = \varepsilon, \ q \in F. \\ qw & \textit{error, if nonaccepting,} \\ & 0 \leq^\forall k \leq / w /, \ qk : w \rightarrow p \notin P. \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{computation}(\textit{process}) \ of \ M \ on \ input \ string \ w \\ \textit{derivation} \ in \ M \ from \ \underline{initial} \ \underline{configuration} \ q_s w \\ \textit{accepting} \ computation, \\ \textit{if it end with} \ \underline{accepting} \ configuration \\ \textit{M accepts} \ w, \ if \ it \ has \ an \ accepting \ computation. \end{array}$

Kwang-Moo Choe

2/26/09

language accepted(*recognized or described*) by M $L(M) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* | q_s w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q \text{ in } M, q \in F \}.$

transition graphnodesQedges(q, p) $qx \rightarrow p \in P$ labeled by x

A finite automaton is **ambiguous**, if it accepts some sentence in two distinct ways [∃]at least two accepting computation, it is **unambiguous**, otherwise.

A state p is **reachable** from a state q upon **reading** w $qw \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p$. A state p is **accessible upon reading** w, $q_s w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p$.

Fact 3.8 A finite automaton accepts w, iff some <u>final</u> state is <u>accessible</u> upon reading w.

accessible state

A state that is accessible upon reading some string *inaccessible* state, if it is not accessible.

A fa with no inaccessible state is called reduced.

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

Lemma 3.9 The set of states **reachable** from a given state of a finite automaton M can be computed in time O(|M|). **Proof.**

 q_1 reaches q_2 , if *M* has a transition from q_1 to q_2 , in O(|M|) q_1 reaches^{*} q_2 , in O(|M|) by theorem 2.2

Theorem 3.10 Any finite automaton $M(Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ can be transformed in time O(|M|) into an **equivalent reduced** finite automaton $M(Q', \Sigma, P', q_s, F')$, where $Q' \subseteq Q, P' \subseteq P$, and $F' \subseteq F$.

A state q is **live**, it some <u>final state</u> is <u>reachable</u> from it. A state that is <u>not live</u> is **dead**.

Lemma 3.11 The set of *live* states of a finite automaton M can be computed in time O(|M|). *Proof.* (set of live states) (*reaches*⁻¹)^{*} F.

Fact 3.12 Let M be an **unambiguous** finite automaton, Then for any accessible live state q_1 and q_2 , and any input string x, there is **at most one derivation** of q_2 from q_1x in M.

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

A finite automaton is **normal-form**, if $q_1 t \rightarrow q_2 \in P$, $t \in \Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}$. A finite automaton is ε -free, if no ε -transition.

Normal-form finite automaton are equivalent in <u>de-</u> <u>scription power</u> as well as **succinctness** to unrestricted finite automaton.

Theorem 3.13 Any fa $M = (Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ can be transformed in time O(|M|) into an equivalent normal-form fa $M' = (Q', \Sigma, P', q_s', F')$. Moreover M'is ambiguous iff M is; and M' is ε -free iff M is. **Proof**.

$$Q' = \{[q] \mid q \in Q\} \cup \{[qx] \mid qxy \rightarrow p \in P, y \in \Sigma^+\}$$

$$q_s' = [q_s],$$

$$F' = \{[q] \mid q \in F\}.$$

$$P' = \{[q] \rightarrow [p] \mid q \rightarrow p \in P\}$$

$$\cup \{[qx]a \rightarrow [qxa] \mid a \in \Sigma, qxay \rightarrow p \in P, y \in \Sigma^+\}$$

$$\cup \{[qx]a \rightarrow [p] \mid a \in \Sigma, qxa \rightarrow p \in P\}$$

$$i) \quad q \rightarrow p \in P$$

$$[qw] \Rightarrow_M, [pw].$$

$$ii) \quad qa_1 \dots a_n \rightarrow p \in P, n \ge 1$$

$$[q]a_1 \dots a_n w \Rightarrow_M, [qa_1]a_2 \dots a_n w \Rightarrow_M, \dots \Rightarrow_M,$$

$$[qa_1 \dots a_{n-1}]a_n w \Rightarrow_M, [p] w \text{ in } M'$$

$$\therefore qx \rightarrow p \in P, \text{ iff } [q]xw \Rightarrow^* [p] w \text{ in } M'.$$

Kwang-Moo Choe

$$\begin{split} &If \ qa_1 \dots a_n \rightarrow p \ \in P, \ n \geq 1 \\ & [q]a_1 \rightarrow [qa_1], \\ & [qa_1]a_2 \rightarrow [qa_1a_2], \\ & \dots, \\ & [qa_1 \dots a_{n-2}]a_{n-1} \rightarrow [qa_1 \dots a_{n-1}], \\ & [qa_1 \dots a_{n-1}]a_n \rightarrow p \in P' \\ & |P|: \ (n+2) \Rightarrow |P'|: \ 3n \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.14 Any <u>normal-form</u> fa $M = (Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ can be transformed in time $O(|Q| \cdot |M|)$ into an equivalent ε -free fa $M'' = (Q, \Sigma, P'', q_s, F'')$. Moreover if Mis unambiguous, then so is M''. **Proof**

$$P'' = \{qa \rightarrow p \mid \exists q'' : \exists q'' : \exists q \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q'', q''a \rightarrow p \in P\}.$$

$$F'' = \{q \mid q \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q'' \in F\}$$

 $\therefore qw \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p \text{ in } M, \text{ iff } qw \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q'' \text{ and } q'' \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p \text{ in } M.$ M'' may remove some ambiguities on sequences of ε -moves.

q empty-trans p, iff $q \rightarrow p \in P$ of size O(|M|). q empty-trans^{*} p, iff $q \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p \in P$ in time $O(|Q| \cdot |M|)$ Theorem 2.3.

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

Theorem 3.15 Any fa M can be transformed in time $O(|M|^2)$ into an equivalent ε -free normal-form fa. Moreover if M is unambiguous, so is transformed automaton.

Nonlinear time bound in **theorem 3.15** fa with ε -transition is $O(|M|^2)$ more **succinct** than ε -free counterpart L_1, L_2, \ldots each L_n non- ε -free n.f. fa O(n) ε -free normal-form fa at least 3n(n+1)/2.

finite automaton $\downarrow O(n)$ in succinctness reduced fa $\downarrow O(n)$ in succinctness normal form fa $\downarrow O(n^2)$ in succinctness ϵ -free fa e-free normal-form fa at least 3n(n+1)/2.

Exercises 3.7

Theorem 3.16 Any regular expression E over Σ can be transformed in time O(|E|) into an equivalent finite automaton M(E) with input alphabet Σ . Moreover M(E) is unambiguous iff E is.

 $(1a) M(\emptyset)$ $(1b) M(\varepsilon)$ 8 (1c) M(a)a (1d) M((E))M(E)

(2) $M(E^*)$



(3) $M(E_1 E_2)$



(4) $M(E_1 \cup E_2)$



$$\begin{split} & L_{match} = \{ O^n I^n / n \ge 0 \} \text{ is not regular.} \\ & \textbf{Proof pumping} \\ & \text{Assume } L_{match} \text{ is regular.} \\ & \varepsilon \text{-free and normal-form automaton } M. \\ & \text{assume } n = /Q / + 1 \\ & q_s O^n I^n \xrightarrow{n} q I^n \xrightarrow{n} q_f \in F \\ & \text{Since } n > /Q /, \exists i \ge 0, k > 0, \text{ and } \exists p \in Q. \\ & q_s O^n I^n \xrightarrow{i} p O^{n-i} I^n \xrightarrow{k} p O^{n-i-k} I^n \xrightarrow{n=i-k} q I^n \xrightarrow{n} q_f \in F.. \\ & \therefore q_s O^i O^{j\cdot k} O^{n-i-k} I^n (= O^{n-k+j\cdot k}) \xrightarrow{*} q_f \in F, \forall j \ge 0. \\ & \text{but } O^i O^{j\cdot k} O^{n-i-k} I^n \notin L_{match}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.17 Any fa $M = (Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ can be transformed in time $O(|Q| \cdot |M| \cdot 4^{|Q|})$ into an equivalent regular expression E(M) over Σ . Moreover M is ambiguous iff M is. **Proof** Let $Q = \{q_1, ..., q_n\}$, for $1 \le i, j \le n, 0 \le k \le n$ E_{ij}^{k} a regular expression, $x \in L(E_{ij}^{k})$ q_j is reachable^(one or more) from q_i upon reading xwithout going through any state $q_m . \Rightarrow . m > k$. $L(E_{ij}^{k}) = \{x_0 \in \Sigma^* | q_{s_0} x_0 \Rightarrow q_{s_1} x_1 ... \Rightarrow q_{s_m} x_m, m \ge 1,$ $q_{s_0} = q_i, q_{s_m} = q_j, x_m = \varepsilon, s_l \le k, 1 \le \forall l \le m-1\}.$

Kwang-Moo Choe

For
$$k = 0$$
, $E_{ij}^{0} = x_1 \cup \ldots \cup x_m$ where
 $q_i x_l \rightarrow q_j \in P$, for $1 \le l \le m$,
 $= \emptyset$, otherwise.

For
$$k > 0$$
,
(1) $E_{ij}^{k} = (E_{ij}^{k-1})^{+}$, $i=j=k$.
(2) $E_{ij}^{k} = E_{ij}^{k-1} \cdot (E_{ij}^{k-1})^{*}$ $i\neq i=k$.

(4)
$$E_{ij}^{\ k} = E_{ij}^{\ k-1} \cup E_{ik}^{\ k-1} \cdot (E_{kk}^{\ k-1})^* \cdot E_{kj}^{\ k-1} \qquad i \neq k \neq j.$$



$$\begin{split} E(M) &= E_{sf_1}^{n} \cup \ldots \cup E_{sf_m}^{n} \cup E_{\varepsilon}.\\ where \ q_s \ is \ a \ initial \ state, \ \{q_{f_1}, \ \ldots, \ q_{f_m}\} = F, \ and\\ E_{\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon, \ if \ q_s \in F; \ E_{\varepsilon} = \emptyset, \ q_s \notin F. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.18 A language over Σ is **regular**, if and only if it is the language accepted by some **automaton** with input alphabet Σ . $fa \Rightarrow re$ exponential time bound $re \Rightarrow fa$ linear time bound

Infinite sequence of regular languages $L_1, L_2, ...$ L_n ϵ -free normal form fa $O(n^2)$, regular expression 2^n .

fa can be **exponentially more succinct** than re.

finite automaton $\downarrow O(n)$ in succinctness reduced fa $\downarrow O(n)$ in succinctness normal form fa $\downarrow O(n^2)$ in succinctness ϵ -free fa $\downarrow O(2^n)$ in succinctness regular expression **3.3 Regular Grammars** Let G = (V, P) be a rewriting system. $N \cup \Sigma = V, N \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, and $S \in N$. $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is a right linear grammar with nonterminal alphabet *N*. terminal alphabet Σ, S. and start symbol *P*, *if* rules $A \to x$, $A \to xB$, $A, B \in N$, $x \in \Sigma^*$. $G = (N, \Sigma, P, S)$ is a left linear grammar, if $A \to x$, $A \to Bx$, $A, B \in N$, $x \in \Sigma^*$. A rewriting system is a **regular grammar**, if it is either <u>right linear</u> or <u>left linear</u>. language generated(described) by G $L(G) = L_G(S) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* | S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w \text{ in } G \}.$

sentential forms in regular grammar

$$S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} xA \Rightarrow xyB \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} xyz, A \rightarrow yB \in P.$$

right linear single rightmost nonterminal
 $S \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} Ax \Rightarrow Byx \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} zyx, A \rightarrow By \in P.$
left leaner single leftmost nonterminal
 $q_s xyz \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q_A yz \Rightarrow q_B z \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q_F, q_A y \rightarrow q_B \in P, q_F \in F$

G is **ambiguous**, if some sentence in L(G) has <u>two</u> <u>distinct</u> derivations; otherwise **unambiguous**.

Kwang-Moo Choe

Theorem 3.19 Any fa $M = (Q, \Sigma, P_M, q_s, F)$ can be transformed in time O(|M|) into an equivalent **rightlinear grammar** $G(M) = (N, \Sigma, P_G, S)$. Moreover G(M) is unambiguous if and only if M is. **Proof** $N = Q, S = q_s$, and $P_G = \{p \rightarrow xq | px \rightarrow q \in P_M\} \cup \{f \rightarrow \varepsilon | f \in F\}.$

Theorem 3.20 Any r.l.g. $G = (N, \Sigma, P_G, S)$ can be transformed in time O(|M|) into an equivalent finite automaton $M(G) = (Q, \Sigma, P_M, q_s, F)$. Moreover M(G)is unambiguous if and only if G is. **Proof** $q_s = [S]$, $Q = \{[A]|A \in N\} \cup \{[xA]|A \rightarrow x \in P_G, x \neq \varepsilon\},$ $P_M = \{[A]x \rightarrow [B]|A \rightarrow xB \in P_G\}$ $\cup \{[A]x \rightarrow [xA]|A \rightarrow x \in P_G, x \neq \varepsilon\},$ $F = \{[A]|A \rightarrow \varepsilon \in P_G\} \cup \{[xA]|A \rightarrow x \in P_G, x \neq \varepsilon\}.$

right linear grammar finite automaton same succinctness and descriptive power

Theorem 3.21 Any language over Σ is **regular** if and only if the language is generated by some **right lin***ear grammar* over Σ .

Kwang-Moo Choe

Theorem 3.23 Any <u>right-linear grammar</u> $G_r = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r, S_r)$ can be transformed in time O(|M|) into an equivalent left-linear grammar $G_l = (N_p, \Sigma, P_l, S_l)$. Moreover G_l is unambiguous if and only if G_r is. **Proof**. $N_l = N \cup \{S_l\}$ $P_l = \{B \rightarrow Ax/A \rightarrow xB \in P_r\}$ $\cup \{S_l \rightarrow Ax/A \rightarrow x \in P_r\} \cup \{S_r \rightarrow \varepsilon\}$ $S_r = A_0 \Rightarrow x_lA_l \Rightarrow ... \Rightarrow x_1...x_{n-l}A_{n-l} \Rightarrow x_1...x_n$ in G_r . $A_{i-1} \rightarrow x_tA_i \in P_r$ $1 \le i < n, A_{n-1} \rightarrow x_n \in P_r$. $\downarrow \uparrow$ $S_l \Rightarrow A_{n-l}x_n \in P_l, A_i \rightarrow A_{i-l}x_i \in P_l$ $1 \le i < n, S_r \rightarrow \varepsilon \in P_l$. $S_l \Rightarrow A_{n-l}x_n \Rightarrow A_{n-2}x_{n-l}x_n \Rightarrow ... \Rightarrow A_lx_2...x_n \Rightarrow A_0x_1...x_n$



$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_{n-1}A_{n-1} & A_1x_2 \\ x_n & A_0 = S_r x_1 \\ \varepsilon \end{array}$$

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

Theorem 3.22 Any <u>left-linear grammar</u> $G_l = (N_l, \Sigma, P_l, S_l)$ can be transformed in time O(/M/) into an equivalent **right-linear grammar** $G_r = (N_r, \Sigma, P_r, S_r)$. Moreover G_r is unambiguous iff G_l is. **Proof**. $N_r = N_l \cup \{S_r\}$ $P_r = \{B \rightarrow xA/A \rightarrow Bx \in P_l\}$ $\cup \{S_r \rightarrow xA/A \rightarrow x \in P_l\} \cup \{S_l \rightarrow \varepsilon\}$

Theorem 3.24 Any language over an alphabet Σ is **regular** if and only if it is the language generated by some **regular grammar** with input string Σ .

The reversal of a rule $r = \alpha \rightarrow \beta$, $r^R = \alpha^R \rightarrow \beta^R$. The reversal of G, $G^R = (V, P^R)$. $P^R = \{r^R | r \in P\}$

Lemma 3.25 Let
$$G = (V, P)$$
 be a rewriting system,
 $\pi = r_1 \dots r_n \in P^*, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in V^*$. Then
 $\gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\pi} \gamma_2$ in G , iff $\gamma_1^R \xrightarrow{\pi} \gamma_2^R$ in G^R ,
where $\pi^R = r_1^R \dots r_n^R$.

Proof.
"only if"
i)
$$n=0, \pi = \varepsilon, trivial.$$

ii) $n>0, let \pi = \mu r_n, r_n = \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_2.$
 $\gamma_1 \stackrel{\mu}{\Longrightarrow} \alpha \omega_1 \beta \stackrel{r_n}{\Rightarrow} \alpha \omega_2 \beta (= \gamma_2) in G.$
 $\gamma_1^R \stackrel{\mu^R}{\Longrightarrow} (\alpha \omega_1 \beta)^R (=\beta^R \omega_1^R \alpha^R) in G^R by IH.$
 $\therefore \beta^R \omega_1^R \alpha^R \stackrel{r_n^R}{\Rightarrow} \beta^R \omega_2^R \alpha^R = (\alpha \omega_2 \beta)^R = \gamma_2^R.$
 $\gamma_1^R \stackrel{\mu^R r_n^R}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_2^R.$
"if" trivial, since
 $if \gamma_1^R \stackrel{\pi^R}{\Rightarrow} \gamma_2^R in G^R, (\gamma_1^R)^R = \gamma_1 \stackrel{\pi^{RR}}{\Rightarrow} = \stackrel{\pi}{\Rightarrow} (\gamma_2^R)^R = \gamma_2.$

Kwang-Moo Choe

Theorem 3.26 Any rg G can be transformed in time O(/G/) into a **regular grammar** G^R such that $L(G^R) = L(G)^R$.

Proof

 G^{R} is left(right)-linear if G is right(left)-linear. $|G^{R}| = |G|$ and G^{R} can be constructed in time O(|G|).

Theorem 3.27 Family of regular grammar is **effectively closed** <u>under</u> **reversal**. **3.4 Deterministic Automaton** A finite automation M is **nondeterministic**, if $qw \Rightarrow^{r_1} q_1 w_1$, $qw \Rightarrow^{r_2} q_2 w_2$, and $r_1 \neq r_2$. M is **deterministic**, if not nondeterministic.

Fact 3.28 A fa is *nondeterministic*, if and only if $qx \rightarrow q_1$, $qy \rightarrow q_2$, where y is a **prefix** of x.

Fact 3.29 A deterministic fa is unambiguous, provided it has no ε -transitions from <u>final</u> states. no different accepting states. deterministic \subset unambiguous

Let $R \subseteq Q$. Then $\delta_x(R) = \{p | qx \rightarrow p \in P, q \in R\}$. $\delta_x \colon 2^Q \times \Sigma^* \to 2^Q$. Let $\delta_x(q) = \delta_x(\{q\}) = \{p | qx \rightarrow p \in P, q \in R\}$. Let $\delta_x^n(R) = \{p | qx \stackrel{n}{\Rightarrow} p \text{ in } M, q \in R\}$. Let $\delta_x^*(R) = \{p | qx \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} p \text{ in } M, q \in R\}$.

Theorem 3.30 Any fa M can be transformed in time $O(2^{|M|+\log|M|+\log|\Sigma|})$ into an equivalent **deterministic** ϵ -free normal-form fa \hat{M} of size $O(2^{|M|+\log|\Sigma|})$.

Kwang-Moo Choe

Proof Assume $M = (Q, \Sigma, P, q_s, F)$ is normal-form. $\hat{M} = (\hat{Q}, \Sigma, \hat{P}, \hat{q}, \hat{F})$ where $\hat{Q} = 2^{Q}$, $\hat{q_s} = \{q \in Q \mid q_s \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q \text{ in } M\}; \text{ or } \delta_s^*(\{q_s\})$ $\hat{F} = \{\hat{q} \in \hat{Q} \mid \hat{q} \cap F \neq \emptyset\}, and$ $\hat{P} = \{\hat{q_1}a \rightarrow \hat{q_2} \mid \hat{q_1} \subseteq Q, a \in \Sigma, \hat{q_2} = \delta_a^*(\hat{q_1})\}$ where $\delta_a^*(\hat{q_1}) = \{q_2 \in Q \mid q_1 a \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q_2 \text{ in } M, q_1 \in \hat{q_1}\} \in \hat{Q}.$ $\hat{q_1} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} w\hat{q_2}$ in \hat{M} , iff $\hat{q_2} = \delta_w^*(\hat{q_1})$ where $\delta_w^*(\hat{q_1}) = \{q_2 \in Q \mid q_1 w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q_2 \text{ in } M, q_1 \in \hat{q_1}\}.$ $L(\hat{M}) = \{ w \in \Sigma \mid \hat{q}, w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} \hat{q}, \hat{q} \in \hat{F} \}$ $= \{ w \in \Sigma \mid \delta_w^*(\hat{q}) \cap F \neq \emptyset \}$ $= \{ w \in \Sigma \mid q_s w \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q, q \in F \}.$

$$\begin{split} &|\hat{M}| = 3 \cdot |\hat{P}| = 3 \cdot |\hat{Q}| \cdot |\Sigma| = 3 \cdot |2^{|Q|} |\cdot |\Sigma| = 3 \cdot 2^{|Q|} + \log|\Sigma|.\\ &\therefore |\hat{M}| = O(2^{|Q|} + \log|\Sigma|). \end{split}$$

time complexity $O(|\hat{M}| \cdot |Q|) = O(2^{|Q| + \log|Q| + \log|\Sigma|})$

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

$$q_{1} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} q_{2}, \text{ if and only if } q_{1} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} q_{2}.$$

$$q_{1} \stackrel{*}{\Rightarrow} aq_{2}, \text{ if and only if } q_{1} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} q_{2}$$

$$\delta_{a}^{*} = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*}.$$
Furthermore if $w = a_{1}...a_{n}.$

$$\delta_{w}^{*} = \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{1}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{2}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*}...\delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{n}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*},$$

$$= \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{1}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{2}}...\delta_{\varepsilon}^{*} \delta_{a_{n}} \delta_{\varepsilon}^{*}.$$

define
$$\hat{\delta}_{a} = \delta_{a} \delta_{\epsilon}^{*}$$
.
 $\delta_{w}^{*} = \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \hat{\delta}_{a_{1}} \hat{\delta}_{a_{2}} \dots \hat{\delta}_{a_{n}}$
 $= \delta_{\epsilon}^{*} \hat{\delta}_{w}$.

$$\hat{q}_{s} := \delta^{*}_{\epsilon}(q_{s});$$

$$\hat{Q} := \{\hat{q}_{s}\}; \hat{P} := \emptyset;$$
repeat
for $\hat{q}_{l} \in \hat{Q}$ and $a \in \Sigma$ do
$$\hat{Q} := \hat{Q} \cup \hat{\delta}_{a}(\hat{q}_{l}); (\hat{\delta}_{a} = \delta_{a}\delta^{*}_{\epsilon})$$

$$\hat{P} := \hat{P} \cup \hat{q}_{l} \cdot a \rightarrow \hat{\delta}_{a}(\hat{q}_{l});$$
od

until no more rule is added into \hat{P} ; $\hat{F} := \{\hat{q} \in \hat{Q} \mid \hat{q} \cap F \neq \emptyset\}.$

Kwang-Moo Choe

PL Labs.,

Theorem 3.31 (Characterization of Regular Languages) The following statements are logically equivalent for all languages over alphabet Σ .

- (1) *L* is the language denoted by some *regular expression* over Σ .
- (2) *L* is the language denoted by some *unambiguous regular expression* over Σ .
- (3) *L* is the language accepted by some finite automaton with input alphabet Σ .
- (4) L is the language accepted by some deterministic ε-free finite automaton with
- (5) *L* is the language generated by some regular grammar with terminal alphabet Σ .
- (6) L is the language generated by some unambiguous right-linear grammar with
- (7) *L* is the language generated by some *unambiguous left-linear grammar* with

Moreover, if D is a description of L belonging to any of the above classes of regular language description, then D can be transformed into a equivalent description belonging to any of the other classes.

Kwang-Moo Choe

finite automaton \Leftrightarrow regular grammar O(n) in succinctness finite automaton \Rightarrow regular expression $O(2^n)$ in succinctness

finite automaton(regular grammar) O(n) in succinctness reduced fa(reduced rg) O(n) in succinctness

normal form fa(normal form rg) $O(n^2)$ in succinctness

 ϵ -free fa(ϵ -free rg) $O(2^n)$ in succinctness deterministic fa(deterministic rg) $O(2^n)$ in succinctness

regular expression $O(2^n)$ in succinctness unambiguous(deterministic) re $O(2^{2^n})$

3.5 Decision Problems on Regular Languages Let D be a class of regular language description, L be a regular language.

 $P_{mem}(\mathbb{D}): "Given w, D \in \mathbb{D}; is w \in L(D)?"$ membership problem for \mathbb{D} . rep(D) # w

 $P_{mem}(L): "Given w; is w \in L?"$ membership problem for L. w

 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{con}(\mathbb{D}): & "Given \ D_1, \ D_2 \in \mathbb{D}; \ is \ L(D_1) \subseteq L(D_2)?" \\ \hline containment \ problem \ for \ \mathbb{D}. & rep(D_1) \# rep(D_2) \end{array}$

 $\begin{aligned} P_{ncon}(\mathbb{D}): & "Given \ D_1, \ D_2 \in \mathbb{D}; \ is \ L(D_1) \not\subset L(D_2)?" \\ & \textit{noncontainment problem for } \mathbb{D}. \quad rep(D_1) \# rep(D_2) \end{aligned}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{eq}(\mathbb{D}): & "Given \ D_1, \ D_2 \in \mathbb{D}; \ is \ L(D_1) = L(D_2)?" \\ equivalence \ problem \ for \ \mathbb{D}. & rep(D_1) \# rep(D_2) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} P_{neq}(\mathbb{D}): & "Given \ D_1, \ D_2 \in \mathbb{D}; \ is \ L(D_1) \neq L(D_2)?" \\ & \textit{inequivalence problem for } \mathbb{D}. & rep(D_1) \# rep(D_2) \end{array}$

3.6 Applications to Lexical Analysis

Kwang-Moo Choe