

7월 24일 NP comp. & SAT.

procedural P.L.의 제어 문장

$S_1; S_2; \dots; S_n$ — concat. (\cdot)

if $B_1 \rightarrow SL_1$
 $\square B_2 \rightarrow SL_2$
 \vdots
 $\square B_n \rightarrow SL_n$ — union (\cup)

f_1
do $B_1 \rightarrow SL_1$
 $\square B_2 \rightarrow SL_2$
 $\square \dots \square B_n \rightarrow SL_n$ — closure ($*$)

7월 6일

명제. (가정 \rightarrow 결론)

① 반례 \emptyset
반례를 찾는 방법

② 반례 X
증명

Turing Church's Thesis

Thm 4.2. μ -RF is Turing Computable (program)
Church's Thesis

μ RF = TM
Church Turing

Thm 4.3 Turing machine is μ -RF.
(program)

Configuration $(\alpha, p, \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha w, p, n)$
 μ -RF

Chap 10. Intractable Problem

\mathbb{N} 이 자연수

$2^{\mathbb{N}}$: uncountable

$O(2^m)$: finite LC

intractable (Cook의 생각)

$O(n^{1000})$: tractable

P vs NP

NP is exponential

k^{2m^2}

↓
not optimal

Example) Parsing of context-free grammar.

NP

$O(n^3)$ - CYK algorithm

$O(m)$ - yacc (LALR parsing) - $\frac{O(n^3)}{1/2}$ 의 CFG.

$P \subseteq NP$, $P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$

NP complete (NP $\stackrel{?}{\circ}$)

SAT

Reduce P to SAT.

$P \stackrel{?}{\geq}_R SAT$

Def. NP-complete

1. P is NP

2. $\forall P' \in NP$

$P' \leq_P NP$

~~SAT is not P.~~

SAT is NP