

# Chap. 5 Context-Free Grammars

## 5.1 Context-Free Grammars

### 5.1.1 An Informal Example

*madamimadam*      “Madam, I’m Adam”

A string  $w$  is **palindrome**, if and only if  $w = w^R$ .

Palindromes over  $\{0, 1\}$

**basis:**                     $\varepsilon$ , 0, and 1 are palindromes.

**induction:**            If  $w$  is a palindrome, so are  $0w0$  and  $1w1$ .  
No other string is palindrome.

Context-Free Grammar

1.  $P \rightarrow \varepsilon$
2.  $P \rightarrow 0$
3.  $P \rightarrow 1$
4.  $P \rightarrow 0P0$
5.  $P \rightarrow 1P1$

### 5.1.2 Definition of Context-Free Grammars

A quadruple  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  is a **context-free grammar**, if

1.  $V$  is a **finite set of variables**(**nonterminals, syntactic categories**),
2.  $T$  is a **finite set of terminal symbols**, where  $V \cap T = \emptyset$ ,
3.  $P$  is a **finite set of productions**(**rules**),

where each production is a pair  $(A, \alpha)$ , written  $A \rightarrow \alpha$ ,

$A \in V$                       **left part(head) of production**

$\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ ,      **right part(body) of production**

4.  $S \in V$  is a **distinguished variable**, called **start(axiom) symbol**.

#### Example 5.2

$$G_{pal} = (\{P\}, \{0, 1\}, \{P \rightarrow \varepsilon, P \rightarrow 0, P \rightarrow 1, P \rightarrow 0P0, P \rightarrow 1P1\}, P)$$

We write  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n \in P$  **instead of**  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1, \dots, A \rightarrow \alpha_n \in P$ .

#### Example 5.2'

$$G_{pal} = (\{P\}, \{0, 1\}, \{P \rightarrow \varepsilon \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid 0P0 \mid 1P1\}, P)$$

**Example 5.3 regular expressions over  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$**

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid EE \mid E^* \mid (E) \mid B \quad \textit{induction}$$

$$B \rightarrow \underline{\varepsilon} \mid \emptyset \mid \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{1} \quad \textit{basis}$$

Note that  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  is not the *empty string* but a *symbol* for regular expression.

$$V = \{E, B\}$$

$$T = \{\underline{\varepsilon}, \emptyset, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, +, *, (, )\}$$

**Example 5.3 regular expression revisited**

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid EE \mid E^* \mid (E) \mid \underline{\varepsilon} \mid \emptyset \mid \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{b} \mid \mathbf{0} \mid \mathbf{1}$$

### 5.1.3 Derivation Using a Grammar

If  $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup T)^*$  and  $A \in V$ , and  $A \rightarrow \gamma \in P$  be a **production**.

We say string  $\alpha A \beta$  **directly derives**  $\alpha \gamma \beta$  in CFG  $G$ , written

$\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow_G \alpha \gamma \beta$ , we may omit  $G$  when it is understood,  $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow \alpha \gamma \beta$ .

$\Rightarrow \subseteq (V \cup T)^* \times (V \cup T)^*$  a **binary relation on**  $(V \cup T)^*$ .

$\rightarrow \subseteq \Rightarrow$   $\Rightarrow$  is an **induced binary relation** from  $\rightarrow$ .

Note that  $\rightarrow$  is **finite** but  $\Rightarrow$  is **infinite**.

$\Rightarrow^* = \bigcup_{i \in N_0} \Rightarrow^i$  **reflexive transitive closure** of  $\Rightarrow$ .

**iterative definition** for  $\Rightarrow^*$ .

**Recursive definition** for  $\Rightarrow^*$ .

1.  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \alpha$ , for any  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ .

**basis**

2. If  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$ , and  $\beta \Rightarrow \gamma$ , then  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \gamma$ .

**recursion**

We say  $\alpha$  **derives**  $\beta$ , if  $\alpha \Rightarrow^* \beta$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in (V \cup T)^*$ .

We say  $\alpha$  is a **sentential form** of  $G$ , if  $S \Rightarrow^* \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ .

We say  $w$  is a **sentence** of  $G$ , if  $S \Rightarrow^* w$  for some  $w \in T^*$ .

The **language** of  $G$ , denoted  $L(G)$ , is  $L(G) = \{w \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* w\}$ .

A language  $L$  is **context-free**, if there is a cfg  $G$  such that  $L = L(G)$ .

### **Notational conventions for CFG**

$a, b, c, \dots \in T$	<b>terminal symbols</b>
$A, B, C, \dots \in V$	<b>variable symbols</b>
$X, Y, Z, \dots \in V \cup T$	<b>general symbols</b>
$x, y, z, \dots \in T^*$	<b>terminal strings</b>
$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \in (V \cup T)^*$	<b>general string</b>

### ***Derivation of CFG is nondeterministic***

1. *Which variable to be replaced*
2. *Which right hand side of the rule to be replaced*

***leftmost derivation,  $\Rightarrow_{lm}$ , to replace leftmost variable***

$$S \Rightarrow_{lm}^* xA\gamma \Rightarrow_{lm} x\beta\gamma \Rightarrow_{lm}^* xy\gamma \Rightarrow_{lm}^* xyz$$

where  $x, y, z \in T^*$ ,  $\gamma \in (V \cup T)^*$ ,  $A \rightarrow \beta \in P$ .

***rightmost derivation,  $\Rightarrow_{rm}$ , to replace rightmost variable***

$$S \Rightarrow_{rm}^* \alpha Az \Rightarrow_{rm} \alpha\beta z \Rightarrow_{rm}^* \alpha yz \Rightarrow_{rm}^* xyz$$

where  $x, y, z \in T^*$ ,  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ ,  $A \rightarrow \beta \in P$ .

Note that  $\Rightarrow_{lm}, \Rightarrow_{rm} \subseteq \Rightarrow$ .

## 5.2 Parse Trees

Let  $G = (V, T, P, S)$  be a cfg. The **parse tree** for  $G$  are trees

1. Each **interior** node is labelled by a **variable**  $A \in V$
2. Each **leaf** node is labelled by a **terminal**  $a \in T$  or  $\varepsilon$ .
3. If an interior node is labelled  $A$  and its children are labelled  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  from left to right  
 $A \rightarrow X_1X_2\dots X_n \in P$ .

**yield** of a tree

**concatenation of leaves of a tree from left to right**

Following four statements are **equivalent** for some **terminal** string  $x \in T^*$ .

$$(1) A \Rightarrow^* x,$$

$$(2) A \Rightarrow_{lm}^* x,$$

$$(3) A \Rightarrow_{rm}^* x,$$

(4) There is a parse tree with **root**  $A$  and **yield**  $x$ .

**Proof** (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are trivial.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): Thm. 5.12

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Thm 5.14 and 5.16

**Theorem 5.12** If  $A \Rightarrow^* x$ , then there is a parse tree with **root**  $A$  and **yield**  $x$

**Proof** Induction on **number of derivations**

**Basis**  $A \rightarrow x \in P$ .  $\therefore$  Parse tree in Fig. 5.8(p187).

**Induction** Assume  $A \rightarrow X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \in P$ ,  $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$ :  $X_i \Rightarrow^* x_i$ , and  $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$

1) If  $X_i \in T$ ,  $X_i = x_i$ .  $\therefore$  **parse tree with leaf**  $x_i \in T$ .

2) If  $X_i \in N$ ,  $X_i \Rightarrow^* x_i$ .  $\therefore$  **parse tree with root**  $X_i$  and **yield**  $x_i$ . (IH)

$\therefore A \Rightarrow X_1 X_2 \dots X_n \Rightarrow^* x_1 X_2 \dots X_n \Rightarrow^* x_1 x_2 \dots X_n \Rightarrow^* x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ . and  
**parse tree with root**  $A$  and **yield**  $x$ . (Fig. 5.9; p188)



**Theorem 5.14** If there is a parse tree with **root**  $A$  and **yield**  $x$ ,  $A \Rightarrow_{lm}^* x$ .

**Proof** Induction on **height** of a tree

**Basis** Parse tree in Fig. 5.8.  $A \rightarrow x \in P$ .  $A \Rightarrow_{lm} x$ .

**Induction** Consider a **parse tree** with **root**  $A$ , sons  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  from left to right, and **yield**  $x = x_1x_2\dots x_n$ . (Fig. 5.9)

1) If  $X_i \in T$ ,  $X_i = x_i$ .  $X_i \Rightarrow_{lm}^0 x_i$ .

2) If  $X_i \in N$ ,  $X_i \Rightarrow_{lm}^+ x_i$ . (IH)

$$A \Rightarrow_{lm} X_1X_2\dots X_n \Rightarrow_{lm}^* x_1X_2\dots X_n \Rightarrow_{lm}^* x_1x_2\dots X_n \Rightarrow_{lm}^* x_1x_2\dots x_n.$$

**Theorem 5.16** If there is a parse tree with **root**  $A$  and **yield**  $x$ ,  $A \Rightarrow_{rm}^* x$ .

**Proof** Induction on **height** of a tree (similar to leftmost derivation)

$$A \Rightarrow_{rm} X_1X_2\dots X_n \Rightarrow_{rm}^* X_1X_2\dots x_n \Rightarrow_{rm}^* X_1x_2\dots x_n \Rightarrow_{rm}^* x_1x_2\dots x_n.$$

## 5.4 Ambiguity in Grammars and Languages

$G_1: E \rightarrow E + E \mid E * E \mid a \mid (E)$

$$E \Rightarrow_{lm} E + E \Rightarrow_{lm} a + E \Rightarrow_{lm} a + E * E \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a + a * a.$$

$$E \Rightarrow_{lm} E * E \Rightarrow_{lm} E + E * E \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a + a * a.$$

A grammar  $G$  is said to be **ambiguous**, if  $\exists x \in L(G) . \exists$ .

$x$  has more than one **parse trees**(**syntactic structure**),

( $x$  has more than one **leftmost(rightmost)** derivation sequences)

otherwise, **unambiguous**.

### Derivation revisited

We may write  $\alpha A \beta \Rightarrow^r \alpha \gamma \beta$ , if  $r = A \rightarrow \gamma \in P$ .

Recursive extension of derivation with rules(rule string)

$\alpha \Rightarrow^\varepsilon \alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^*$ , and

$\alpha \Rightarrow^{\pi r} \gamma$ , if  $\alpha \Rightarrow^\pi \beta$ ,  $\beta \Rightarrow^r \gamma$  for  $\pi \in P^*$ ,  $r \in P$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (V \cup T)^*$ .

**Parser** of a grammar  $G$ ,

$\forall x \in T^*$ , if  $x \in L(G)$  **syntactic structure**(parse tree),  
otherwise say **NO**.

Is the parser for  $G$  is **deterministic**?

**Not always!**

It is **undecidable** whether  $G$  is **ambiguous** or not.

If  $G$  is **unambiguous**, the parser for  $G$  may be **deterministic** or not.

If  $G$  is **ambiguous**, the parser for  $G$  is **nondeterministic**.

If the parser for  $G$  is **deterministic**,  $G$  is **unambiguous**.

	<i>parser</i>	<i>structure</i>
<i>regular</i>	<i>deterministic</i>	<i>linear</i>
<i>context-free</i>	<i>nondeterministic</i>	<i>hierarchical</i>

## ***Deterministic parsing of context-free languages***

*If  $S \Rightarrow_{lm}^{\pi} x$  for  $x \in T^*$ ,  $\pi \in P^*$  is called the **left parse** of the sentence  $x$ .*

*If  $S \Rightarrow_{rm}^{\pi} x$  for  $x \in T^*$ ,  $\pi^R \in P^*$  is called the **right parse** of the sentence  $x$ .*

***parse tree  $\Leftrightarrow$  left parse  $\Leftrightarrow$  right parse  $\Leftrightarrow$  syntactic structure***

***left(right) parser: left(right) parse***

***LL(k): Left-to-right scan in Leftmost derivation with k-lookahead symbols***

***LR(k): Left-to-right scan in Rightmost derivation with k-lookahead symbol***

***Left parser***      *same direction in scan and derivation*

***normal order, top-down parsing(LL parsing)***

***Right parser***      *different direction in scan and derivation*

***reversed order, bottom-up parsing(LR, LALR, SLR parsing)***

## *Removing ambiguity in the grammar*

*Assume that precedence of  $*$  is higher than that of  $+$ , and  $+$  and  $*$  are left associative.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_2: E &\rightarrow E + T \mid T * F \mid a \mid ( E ) \\
 T &\rightarrow T * F \mid a \mid ( E ) \\
 F &\rightarrow a \mid ( E )
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_3: E &\rightarrow E + T \mid T \\
 T &\rightarrow T * F \mid F \\
 F &\rightarrow a \mid ( E )
 \end{aligned}$$

*$A \rightarrow B$  is called **unit production**, if  $A, B \in V$ .*

**A context free language  $L$  is said to be inherently ambiguous, if every cfg  $G \ni L = L(G)$  is ambiguous.**

**$L = \{a^n b^n c^m d^m \mid n, m \geq 1\} \cup \{a^n b^m c^m d^n \mid n, m \geq 1\}$  is inherently ambiguous.**

Consider  $G$ :

$$S \rightarrow AB \mid C$$

$$A \rightarrow aAb \mid ab$$

$$B \rightarrow cBd \mid cd$$

$$C \rightarrow aCd \mid aDd$$

$$D \rightarrow bDc \mid bc$$

and the sentence  $a^n b^n c^n d^n$ .

$$S \Rightarrow_{lm} AB \Rightarrow_{lm} aAbB \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a^{n-1} Ab^{n-1} B \Rightarrow_{lm} a^n b^n B$$

$$\Rightarrow_{lm} a^n b^n cBd \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a^n b^n c^{n-1} B d^{n-1} \Rightarrow_{lm} a^n b^n c^n d^n.$$

$$S \Rightarrow_{lm} C \Rightarrow_{lm} aCd \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a^{n-1} C d^{n-1} \Rightarrow_{lm} a^n D d^n$$

$$a^n b D c d^n \Rightarrow_{lm}^* a^n b^{n-1} D c^{n-1} d^n \Rightarrow_{lm} a^n b^n c^n d^n.$$