

Chap. 1 Automata: The Methods and Madness

Automata theory

abstract computing device or “machines”

Historical reviews

1. 1930's *Gödel, Turing, Church, ...*

*Boundary between what a **computing machine** could do
and what it could **not do**(Chap. 10)*

Turing machine(Chap. 8) ***computable vs uncomputable***

Terminate(Chap. 9) ***decidable vs undecidable***

2. 1940' ~ 1950's ***finite automata***(Chap. 2)

simpler computing machine

regular expressions(Chap. 3), ***regular languages***(Chap. 4)

훈민정음 (세종 1446) 한글모아쓰기 오토마타 (최광무 1978)

project

3. late 1950's N. Chomsky

formal “**grammar**”

close relationships between **grammars** and **automata**

context-free grammars(Chap. 5), **pushdown automata**(Chap. 6)

context-free languages(Chap. 7)

Left/Right parsers of context-free grammars(supplement)

4. 1969 Cook

A boundary between what a computer could solve **efficiently** or not
intractable problem, “**NP-completeness**”(Chap. 10)

cowboy mathematics

0. proofs, languages(Chap. 1)

reviews on mathematics(not in the text)

automata, grammars, languages, problems, and programs

1.2 Deductive Proof

Read 한글강의노트 or *supplement TP* for 1.2, 1.3, and 1.4!!!

proof

personal feeling about the truth of statement

step-by-step formal proof

deductive proof

*a sequence of true statements from **hypothesis** to **conclusion***

Deductive proof of “if H , then C ”

*We say conclusion C is **deduced** from hypothesis H*

Theorem 1.3 inductive proof

Theorem 1.4 deductive proof

modus ponens

H , “if H , then C ” $\Rightarrow C$

Way of Saying “If-Then”

“if H , then C ”

1. H implies C .
2. H only if C .
3. C if H .
4. Whenever H holds, C follows.
5. $H \Rightarrow C$.

If-and-only-if Statements

“ A if and only if B ”, “ A iff B ”, “ A is equivalent to B ”

$A \Leftrightarrow B$, $A \equiv B$

1. if part: “if B , then A ”
2. only if part: “if A , then B ”

1.3.1 Proving Equivalence About Sets

Two sets E and F are equivalent”, $E = F$.

1. $E \subseteq F$, if $x \in E$, then $x \in F$.
2. $F \subseteq E$, if $x \in F$, then $x \in E$.

1.3.2 The Contrapositive

“if not C , then not H ”

Four cases

H	C	$H \rightarrow C$	$\overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{H}$	$C \rightarrow H$	$H \leftrightarrow C$
T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T

contrapositive converse equivalence

1.3.3 Proof by Contradiction

“H and not C implies false”

1.3.4 Counterexample

To prove a statement is false.

disproof

observation

induction

1.4 Inductive proof

Inductive(Recursive) definition of natural numbers

Basis: 0 is a natural number.

Induction: If n is a natural number,
then $n+1$ is *also* a natural number.

Inductive proof on natural numbers

To prove a predicate $p(n)$ is true for all natural number $n(n \geq 0)$,

basis *prove $p(0)$ is true,*

induction *assume $p(n)$ is true, prove $p(n+1)$ is true.*

Induction principle on natural numbers

basis *prove $p(i)$,*
induction *prove $p(n)$ implies $p(n+1)$ for all $n \geq i$,*
conclusion *$p(n)$ for all $n \geq i$.*

General induction principle on natural numbers

basis *prove $p(i), p(i+1), \dots p(i+j)$ for some $j \geq 0$,*
induction *prove $p(n)$ implies $p(n+j+1)$ for all $n \geq i$,*
conclusion *$p(n)$ for all $n \geq i$.*

1.4.3 Structural Induction

Structural recursive definition of a set X .

(finite) basis $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \in X$.

(finite) recursion If $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$, then $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X$.

Example 1.19 A rooted tree $T = (V, E, r)$ is defined as follows:

i) V is a set of vertices,

ii) E is a set of n edges where $(u, v) \in E$ denotes an edge from the vertex u to the vertex v , and

iii) $r \in V$ is a root vertex of the rooted tree T

basis $(\{v\}, \{\}, v)$ is a rooted tree

recursion If $T_1=(V_1, E_1, r_1)$, $T_2=(V_2, E_2, r_2)$, ..., $T_k=(V_k, E_k, r_k)$ are rooted trees, Then (V, E, r) is a rooted tree

where $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k \cup \{r\}$,

$E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \dots \cup E_k \cup \{(r, r_i) \mid 1 \leq \forall i \leq k\}$, and

$$r \notin V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k.$$

Example 1.20(expression)

basis number and letter(variable) are expression.

recursion $exp + exp$, $exp - exp$, $exp \times exp$, $exp \div exp$, and (exp)
are exp .

i) What are f and X ?

ii) Are the definition of X and the defined set X **finite** or **infinite**?

Structural recursive proof on the set X .

To prove $p(X) \equiv \forall x \in X, p(x)$.

basis $p(b_1), p(b_2), \dots, p(b_k)$.

induction If $p(x), p(x_2), \dots, p(x_n)$, then $p(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n))$.

Example 1.21 (rooted tree), Example 1.22(expression)

What is p ?

Empty string

a string of **zero(no)** occurrence of symbols, denoted as, ϵ (epsilon).
 The **empty string** may be chosen from **any** alphabet whatsoever.

Length of a string

the **number of positions** for symbols in the string

If w is a string, then the **length** of the string w is denoted as $|w|$.

$$|01101| = 5, |111| = 3$$

$$|\epsilon| = 0$$

Concatenation of strings

Let x and y be strings, the **concatenation** of string, denoted xy ,

If $x = a_1a_2 \dots a_n$, $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$, a_i is a symbol, and

$y = b_1b_2 \dots b_m$, $1 \leq \forall j \leq m$, b_j is a symbol, then

$$xy = a_1a_2 \dots a_nb_1b_2 \dots b_m$$

$$|xy| = |x| + |y| = n + m$$

Concatenation is associative but noncommutative.

Let x, y, z be a string over some alphabet Σ .

$$(xy)z = x(yz) \qquad xy \neq yx$$

Empty string is the identity element for the concatenation
for any string w , $x\varepsilon = \varepsilon x = x$.

Power of an alphabet

We define Σ^k to be the set of strings of length k
each of whose symbol is in Σ .

Note that $\Sigma^0 = \{\varepsilon\}$, regardless of what alphabet Σ is.

Example) If $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$, then

$$\Sigma^0 = \{\varepsilon\} \quad \Sigma^1 = \{0, 1\} \quad \Sigma^2 = \{00, 01, 10, 11\}$$

$$\Sigma^3 = \{000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111\}$$

$$|\Sigma^k| = |\Sigma|^k.$$

The set of **all** strings over an alphabet Σ , denoted as, Σ^* ,

$$\{0, 1\}^* = \{\varepsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01, 10, 11, 000, 001, \dots\}$$

$$\Sigma^* = \Sigma^0 \cup \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{i \in N_0} \Sigma^i.$$

$$\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^1 \cup \Sigma^2 \cup \Sigma^3 \cup \dots = \bigcup_{i \in N_1} \Sigma^i.$$

$$\Sigma^* = \Sigma^+ \cup \{\varepsilon\}$$

Σ^* contains **any** string over Σ .

x is a **string** over $\Sigma \Leftrightarrow x \in \Sigma^*$.

Σ^* is the **universe(type)** of string x .

1.5.3 Language

A set of strings *all* of which are chosen from some Σ^* ,
where Σ is a particular alphabet.

Language is a set of strings.

If Σ is an alphabet, and $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$, then L is a *language over* Σ .
 Σ^* , is the *universe* for *any* languages over Σ .

L is a *language over* $\Sigma \Leftrightarrow L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ or $L \in 2^{\Sigma^*}$.

Example of languages

1. $\{\epsilon, 01, 0011, 000111, \dots\} = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$
2. The set of all strings of 0's and 1's equal numbers of each.
 $\{\epsilon, 01, 10, 0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, \dots\}$
3. The set of binary numbers (non leading zero) whose value is prime.
 $\{10, 11, 101, 111, 1011, \dots\}$

4. Σ^* is a language for alphabet Σ .
5. \emptyset , the **empty language**, is a language over **any** alphabet.
6. $\{\epsilon\}$, the language consisting of only **empty string**,
is also a language over **any** alphabet.
 $\emptyset \neq \{\epsilon\}$

Four terminologies

	<i>element</i>	<i>set</i>
<i>single</i>	<i>symbol</i> $a \in \Sigma$	alphabet Σ
<u><i>sequence</i></u>	<u><i>string</i></u> $x \in \Sigma^*$	<u>language</u> $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ or $L \in 2^{\Sigma^*}$.

A symbol is a string of length one.

An alphabet is a language whose elements are of length one.

Type conventions $a, b, c, \dots, 0, 1, \dots \in \Sigma$ *symbols* $u, v, w, x, y, z, \dots \in \Sigma^*$ *strings* $L, S, T, \dots \subseteq \Sigma^*$ *languages* Σ, V, \dots *alphabets, vocabularies* Σ^* *is countable.**strings are countable**But is 2^{Σ^*} uncountable.**languages are uncountable*

1.5.4 Problems

membership problem

a question of deciding whether a given string is a member of particular language

Let Σ be an alphabet and L be a language over Σ^ , ($L \subseteq \Sigma^*$)*

Given $w \in \Sigma^$, decide whether $w \in L$ or not.*

decision problem

a question of deciding yes or no.

Example 1.26

membership problem vs. decision problem