

# 1 Sets and Logic

## 1.1 Sets

An **unordered** (distinguished) collection of objects

An **object** in a set is called an **element** or a **member** of the set.

(An **ordered** (undistinguished) collection of objects

orderd pair, triple, quaduruple, ...,  $n$ -tuple)

### Two ways to define sets

i) To **enumerate** the elements( 원소나열법 ) (1.1.1)

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \quad \text{finite sets}$$

$$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\} \quad \text{infinite sets}$$

ii) To **specify conditions**( 조건제시법 ) (1.1.2)

$$A = \{x \mid p(x)\} \quad p(x): \text{predicate (see Sec. 1.5 Quantifiers)}$$

$$A = \{x \in U \mid p(x)\} \quad U: \text{universe (domain) of discourse}$$

iii) to write a program(?; CS322)

**Some important sets in discrete mathematics(p 2)**

$$\mathbf{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

*set of integers.*

$$\mathbf{Q} = \{p/q \mid p \in \mathbf{Z}, q \in \mathbf{Z} \text{ and } q \neq 0\}$$

*set of rational numbers.*

**R**

*set of real numbers.*

$$\mathbf{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

*set of positive natural numbers.*

$$\mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

*set of natural numbers.*

$$\mathbf{N}_n = \{n, n+1, \dots\}$$

$$n \in \mathbf{Z}$$

**Def. Cardinality of a set(p 3)**

$|A|$  the *number of elements in the set A*

*A set contain its elements.(p 3)*

$x \in X$  “*an object x is an element of the set X*”

$x \notin X$  “*an object x is not an element of the set X*”

**Def. Empty set(p 3)**

The set with no elements is **empty(null or void)** set denoted  $\emptyset$  or  $\{\}$ .

Note that  $|\emptyset| = |\{\}| = 0$ .

**Def. Equality of two sets(p 3)**

Two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are **equal** and we write  $X = Y$ ,

If  $X$  and  $Y$  have the **same** elements.

Otherwise

a set  $X$  is **not** equal to  $Y$ (written  $X \neq Y$ ).

Note that  $\{\} = \emptyset \neq \{\emptyset\}$ .

**Def. Subsets(p 4)**

The set  $X$  is said to be a **subset** of  $Y$ (written  $X \subseteq Y$ ),

if every elements of  $X$  is also an elements of  $Y$ .

Or

If for every  $x$ , if  $x \in X$ , then  $y \in Y$ .

**Def. Equality of two sets, revisited**

$X = Y$  if  $X \subseteq Y \wedge Y \subseteq X$ .

i) For every  $x$ , if  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in Y$ , and

ii) For every  $x$ , if  $x \in Y$ , then  $x \in X$ .

$X \subseteq Y \wedge$   
 $Y \subseteq X$

Note that for every set  $X$ ,  $X \subseteq X$  and  $\emptyset \subseteq X$ .

**Def. Proper subsets**(p 5; 진부분집합 )

If  $X \subseteq Y$  and (but)  $Y \not\subseteq X$ , then we write  $X \subset Y$  and

we say that  $X$  is a **proper subset** of  $Y$ , and we write  $X \subset Y$ .

Note that for every set  $X$ ,  $X \not\subset X$ .

**Def. Power Set of a Set**(p 5)

The set (**collection**) of all subsets of a set  $A$ , denoted  $P(A)$  or  $2^A$ , is the **power set** of  $A$ .

$$P(A) = 2^A = \{B \mid B \subseteq A\}$$

**Note** a **collection**(set) may have a set as an **element** of the **collection**.

$$X \subseteq A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad X \in 2^A.$$

**Exa. 1.1.13** Let  $A = \{a, b, c\}$ . Then

$$P(\{a, b, c\}) = 2^{\{a, b, c\}} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$$

$$|P(\{a, b, c\})| = |2^{\{a, b, c\}}| = 8.$$

$$0_c 0_b 0_a \leftrightarrow \emptyset \quad 0_c 0_b 1_a \leftrightarrow \{a\} \quad 0_c 1_b 0_a \leftrightarrow \{b\} \quad 0_c 1_b 1_a \leftrightarrow \{a, b\}$$

$$1_c 0_b 0_a \leftrightarrow \{c\} \quad 1_c 0_b 1_a \leftrightarrow \{a, c\} \quad 1_c 1_b 0_a \leftrightarrow \{b, c\} \quad 1_c 1_b 1_a \leftrightarrow \{a, b, c\}$$

**isomorphic**(See **Sec. 3.1**) to the **binary number of length 3**

$$|\{a, b, c\}| = 3 \quad 8 = 2^3.$$

$$|2^A| = |P(A)| \stackrel{?}{=} 2^{|A|} \quad \text{See Sec 2.4 Mathematical Induction(Thm. 2.4.6)}$$

**Definitions of set operations** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two sets. Then(p 6)

1. **Union** of  $X$  and  $Y$   $X \cup Y = \{x \mid x \in X \vee x \in Y\}$

2. **Intersection** of  $X$  and  $Y$   $X \cap Y = \{x \mid x \in X \wedge x \in Y\}$

3. **Difference** of  $X$  and  $Y$   $X - Y = \{x \mid x \in X \wedge x \notin Y\}$

**Relative complement of  $Y$  from  $X$**

4. Let  $U$  be a **universe**(**universal set**) and  $X \subseteq U$ . Then(p 7)

**Absolout complement** of  $X$   $\bar{X} = U - X = \{x \in U \mid x \notin X\}$

Two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  are **disjoint**,

if their **intersection** is **empty**, i.e.  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Let  $S$  be a **collection** of sets. The **collection**  $S$  is **pairwise disjoint**(p 6)

Every pair of set  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $S$  are **disjoint**, i.e.  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ .

**Venn diagram**(p 7)

**pictorial** view of sets with **universe**

$n$  sets

$2^n$  regions

**Thm. 1.1.21 Algebraic Rules of Set Equalities**(p 8-9)

Let  $U$  be universal set and  $A, B,$  and  $C$  be any subsets of  $U$ .

$$(\forall A, B, C \subseteq U \equiv \forall A, B, C \in 2^U)$$

1. **Associative laws**  $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$   $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$

2. **Commutative laws**  $A \cup B = B \cup A$   $A \cap B = B \cap A$

3. **Distributive...**  $A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$   $A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C)$

4. **Identity laws**  $A \cup \emptyset = A$   $A \cap U = A$

5. **Complement laws**  $A \cup \bar{A} = U$   $A \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$

6. **Idempotent laws**  $A \cup A = A$   $A \cap A = A$

7. **Domination(Bound) laws**  $A \cup U = U$   $A \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$

8. **Absorption laws**  $A \cup (A \cap B) = A$   $A \cap (A \cup B) = A$

9. **Involution law**  $\neg(\bar{A}) = A$

10. **0/1 laws**  $\bar{\emptyset} = U$   $\bar{U} = \emptyset$

11. **De Morgan's laws**  $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$   $\overline{A \cap B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$

**Proof** insight for proof using Venn diagram

Let  $S$  be a **collection** of sets. Then we can write

$$\cup S = \{a \mid a \in A, \text{ for } \mathbf{some} A \in S\} \quad \cap S = \{a \mid a \in A, \text{ for } \mathbf{all} A \in S\}$$

$$\cup S = \{a \mid \exists A \in S .\exists. a \in A\} \neq S \quad \cap S = \{a \mid \forall A \in S, a \in A\}$$

Let  $S = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  be a **collection** of  $n$ -sets. Then we can write

$$\cup_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_n, \quad \cap_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n.$$

$i$ : **index variable**

Let  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$I$ : **index set**

$$\cup_{i \in I} A_i = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$$

$$\cap_{i \in I} A_i = \cap_{i=1}^n A_i.$$

**Unions and Intersections are *assosiative*(semigroup)**

If an operation is **associative**,

the **binary** operation extends to  **$n$ -ary** operation



Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n, \quad \prod_{i=1}^n x_i = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n.$$

**Compare**  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$  with  $\sum_{i \in I} x_i$  where  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

*for*  $i := 1$  *to*  $n$  *do*  $\Sigma x_i$  *od*

*for*  $i \in I$  *do*  $\Sigma x_i$  *od*

**Def.** A *partion* of a set  $A$  is an *exhaustive pairwise disjoint collection of subsets of*  $A$ .

$Par(A) = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$  such that  $(\exists.)$

i)  $\cup_{i \in N_n} A_i = A$  **exhaustive**  $(1 \leq \forall i \leq n: A_i \subseteq A)$

ii)  $\cap_{i \neq j \in N_n} (A_i \cap A_j) = \emptyset$  **pairwise disjoint**

Note that  $\cup_{A \in Par(A)} Par(A) = A \wedge \cap_{A \in Par(A)} Par(A) = \emptyset$ . BUT

$\cup_S S = S \wedge \cap_S S = \emptyset$  does not **gurantee** the collection  $S$  to be a partition.

## Cartesian product of two sets(p10)

**Def.** An **ordered pair** ( 순서쌍 ) of elements.

$$(a, b) \neq (b, a) \quad \text{but } \{a, b\} = \{b, a\}.$$

**Def.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two sets. We define **Cartesian product** of  $A$  and  $B$  as

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$$

$(a, b)$  an **ordered pair**

$?X \times Y$   $X$ - $Y$  평면 ( 중 )

(Example) Let  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Then

$$A \times B = \{(a, 1), (a, 2), (a, 3), (b, 1), (b, 2), (b, 3)\}$$

$$|A \times B| = 2 \times 3 = |A| \times |B|$$

$(A \times B) \times C \neq A \times (B \times C)$ , since  $((a, 1), \alpha) \neq (a, (1, \alpha)) \neq (a, 1, \alpha)$

**BUT**

**Def.** The **Cartesian product** of the  $n$  sets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , denoted by

$$A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_n = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in A_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

**Def.** The **ordered  $n$ -tuple**  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is the **ordered collection**, that has  $a_1$  as its first element,  $a_2$  as its second element, ...,  $a_n$  as its  $n$ -th element.

$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ , iff,  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \equiv (a_1 = b_1) \wedge (a_2 = b_2) \wedge \dots \wedge (a_n = b_n).$$

Note that  $(A \times B) \times C \neq A \times (B \times C) \neq A \times B \times C$ .

$$\text{BUT } |(A \times B) \times C| = |A \times (B \times C)| \leftrightarrow |A \times B \times C|$$

$$\text{BUT } (A \times B) \times C \leftrightarrow A \times (B \times C) \leftrightarrow A \times B \times C \quad \text{See [Sec. 3.1](#)}$$

**Four cases (binary relations) between two sets A and B.**

1. equal  $A = B$
2. subset  $A \subseteq B$ 
  - 2.1. proper subset  $A \subset B$
3. disjoint  $A \cap B = \emptyset$
4. incomparable Otherwise

**Four regions in the Venn diagram of two sets A and B.**

$$i) A \cap B \quad ii) \bar{A} \cap B \quad iii) A \cap \bar{B} \quad iv) \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$$

**Truth table in predicate logic with two variables**

**Four cases for between two set and for regions in the Venn diagram**

- i)  $(A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset) \wedge (\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset) \equiv A = B,$  **equal**
- ii)  $(A \cap \bar{B} = \emptyset) \text{ or } (\bar{A} \cap B = \emptyset) \equiv A \subseteq B \text{ or } B \subseteq A,$  **subset**
- iii)  $(A \cap B = \emptyset) \equiv A \cap B = \emptyset,$  **disjoint**
- iv) otherwise **incomparable**

## 1.2 Propositions

### Propositions( 명제 )

*a declarative sentence that is either true or false,  
but not both nor neither*

*letters denoting propositions*       $p, q, r, s, \dots$

**T:** *true value*

**F:** *false value*

*propositional calculus or propositional logic*

*compounded propositions*

*propositions that are formed from existing propositions  
using logical operators(connectives)*

**Def. 1.2.1; 3 Conjunction(And)**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be a propositions,  $p \wedge q$  is a (compounded) proposition, called **conjunction** of  $p$  and  $q$ , or “ **$p$  and  $q$** ”.

**truth table**

**Def. 1.2.1; 6 Disjunction(or; Inclusive or)**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be a propositions,  $p \vee q$  is a proposition, called **disjunction** of  $p$  and  $q$ , or “ **$p$  or  $q$** ”.

**Def. 1.2.9 Negation(not)**

Let  $p$  be a proposition,  $\neg p$  is a (new) **compounded** proposition, called **negation** of  $p$ , or “**not  $p$** ”.

**Compare the truth tables for  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ , and  $\neg$  with the Venn diagrams for  $\cap$ ,  $\cup$ , and  $\bar{\phantom{x}}$  in set operations(Sec. 1.1).**

### 1.2.13' Algebraic Rules of Logical Equivalences

- |                               |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 1. <b>Associative laws</b>    | $(p \vee q) \vee r = p \vee (q \vee r)$              | $(p \wedge q) \wedge r = p \wedge (q \wedge r)$        |
| 2. <b>Commutative laws</b>    | $p \vee q = q \vee p$                                | $p \wedge q = q \wedge p$                              |
| 3. <b>Distributive laws</b>   | $p \vee (q \wedge r) = (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ | $p \wedge (q \vee r) = (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ |
| 4. <b>Identity laws</b>       | $p \vee \mathbf{F} = p$                              | $p \wedge \mathbf{T} = p$                              |
| 5. <b>Complement laws</b>     | $p \vee \neg p = \mathbf{T}$                         | $p \wedge \neg p = \mathbf{F}$                         |
| 6. <b>Idempotent laws</b>     | $p \vee p = p$                                       | $p \wedge p = p$                                       |
| 7. <b>Domination laws</b>     | $p \vee \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}$                     | $p \wedge \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}$                     |
| 8. <b>Absorption laws</b>     | $p \vee (p \wedge q) = p$                            | $p \wedge (p \vee q) = p$                              |
| 9. <b>Double negation law</b> | $\neg(\neg p) = p$                                   |  |
| 10. <b>T/F laws</b>           | $\neg \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{F}$                       | $\neg \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{T}$                         |
| 11. <b>De Morgan's laws</b>   | $\neg(p \vee q) = \neg p \wedge \neg q$              | $\neg(p \wedge q) = \neg p \vee \neg q$                |

Compare with the algebraic rules of set equalities in p. 7(Thm 1.1.21)

$$(\{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}, \vee, \wedge, \neg, \equiv) \leftrightarrow (\{U, \emptyset\}, \cup, \cap, \bar{\phantom{x}}, =)$$

*isomorphism between positional logic and set algebra*

**Syntax** ( 문법 ) of *composite propositions*

$\neg$	<i>negation</i>	<i>unary prefix operator</i>
$\wedge, \vee$	<i>conjunctive, disjunctive</i>	<i>binary infix operator</i>
$(, )$		<i>precedence of operator</i>
<b>T, F</b>		<i>constant</i>
$p, q, r, s$		<i>variables</i>

*Syntactic grammars for propositional logic, set operation and 식*

$p$	$::=$	<b>T</b>   <b>F</b>   $v$	/	$p \vee p$	/	$p \wedge p$	/	$\neg p$		$( p )$
$S$	$::=$	$\emptyset$   $U$   $b$	/	$S \cup S$	/	$S \cap S$	/	$\overline{S}$		$( S )$
식	$::=$	수   변수		식 + 식		식 * 식		- 식		$( 식 )$
					식 <sup>식</sup>	/	$\sin( 식 )$		$\log( 식 )$	



### 1.3 Conditional Propositions and Logical Equivalence

**Def. 1.3.1** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions, the **proposition**

“if  $p$  then  $q$ ” (1.3.2) “ $q$ , only if  $p$ ”

**conditional proposition**(or **implication**) written  $p \rightarrow q$ ,

$p$ : **hypothesis**(or **antecedent**; **premise**; 가정 ) of  $p \rightarrow q$ .

$q$ : **conclusion**(or **consequence**; 결론 ) of  $p \rightarrow q$ .

**Def. 1.3.3** Truth table for implication  $p \rightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$
<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>
<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>

If  $p = \mathbf{F}$ , then  $p \rightarrow q = \mathbf{T}$  regardless of whether  $q$  is **T** or **F**.

**true by default or vacuously true.**

An **implication**  $p \rightarrow q$  says **nothing** when the **hypothesis**  $p$  is **false**

**Definition 1.3.8 biconditional(equivalence)**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be a propositions,  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a proposition,  
called **biconditional** of  $p$  and  $q$ , or “ $p$ , if and only if,  $q$ ”.

**Def. 1.3.8'** Truth table for biconditional  $p \leftrightarrow q$ .

$p$	$q$	$p \leftrightarrow q$	
<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>if</b>
<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	$p = q \rightarrow p \leftrightarrow q = \mathbf{T}$
<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	$  p \neq q \rightarrow p \leftrightarrow q = \mathbf{F}$
<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>fi</b>

**Def. 1.3.10** Let two propositions  $P$  and  $Q$  are made up of the  $n$  propositions  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ . We say  $P$  and  $Q$  are **logically equivalent** and write  $P \equiv Q$ , if given any truth value of  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ , either  $P$  and  $Q$  are both **true** or  $P$  and  $Q$  are both **false**.

**Def. 1.3.16** The *contrapositive* (transposition; 대우) of the conditional proposition  $p \rightarrow q$  is  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .

$q \rightarrow p$  (converse; 역)

$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$  (inverse; 이)

**Thm. 1.3.18** The conditional proposition  $p \rightarrow q$  is and its contrapositive  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  are logically equivalent.

*proof* The truth table

$p$	$q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$
<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>
<b>T</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>T</b>	<b>T</b>

**Def.** A (compound) proposition that is always true: **tautology**  
 A proposition that is always false: **contradiction**  
 Otherwise: **contingency**.

If an **conditional**  $p \rightarrow q$  is **always true (tautology)**, we write  $p \Rightarrow q$ .

$p \Rightarrow q$                        $p$  is a **sufficient condition** to be  $q$ .  
 $\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p$                  $q$  is a **necessary condition** to be  $p$ .

If a **biconditional**  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a **tautology**, we write  $p \Leftrightarrow q$  (or  $p \equiv q$ )

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$$

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q \quad \text{disjunctive form}$$

$$p \leftrightarrow q \equiv (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \vee p) \quad \text{def. of } \leftrightarrow \text{ and disjunctive form}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge p) \vee (q \wedge \neg q) \vee (q \wedge p) \quad \text{distribution law}$$

$$\equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee \mathbf{F} \vee \mathbf{F} \vee (q \wedge p) \equiv (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (p \wedge q)$$

$$\equiv (p \equiv q) \equiv (p = q). \quad \text{We will use } = \text{ instead of } \equiv \text{ or } \Leftrightarrow.$$

### **Three ways of proofs for *logical equivalences***

1. Algebraic **rules** of logical equivalences
2. **Truth tables**  $n$  propositional variables,  $2^n$  rows in the table
3. **Disjunctive(Conjunctive) normal form**.

### **Precedence of Logical Operators**

$\neg$  high

$\wedge$

$\vee$

$\rightarrow$

$\leftrightarrow$

$(= (\equiv, \Leftrightarrow))$  low

Disjunction( $\vee$ ) and conjunction( $\wedge$ ) are **associative**,

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be  $n$  propositions. Then

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n p_i = p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \dots \vee p_n \qquad \bigwedge_{i=1}^n p_i = p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n.$$

Let  $I$  be a set(**index set**). Then we can define

$\bigvee_{i \in I} p_i$       **disjunctive( $\vee$ ) normal form** (**Truth table** for  $2^n$  rows)

where  $p_i$ 's are **conjunction( $\wedge$ )** of  $p_i$  and  $\neg p_i$ .

$\bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i$       **conjunctive( $\wedge$ ) normal form**

where  $p_i$ 's are **disjunction( $\vee$ )** of  $p_i$  and  $\neg p_i$ .

**Extended De Morgan's law**

$$\neg \bigvee_{i \in I} p_i = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \neg p_i \qquad \neg \bigwedge_{i \in I} p_i = \bigvee_{i \in I} \neg p_i$$

$$\overline{\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i} = \overline{(\bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{A_i})} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \overline{A_i} \qquad \overline{\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \overline{A_i}$$

## Logical equivalences involving conditional statements

$$p \rightarrow q = \neg p \vee q$$

*disjunctive form( $\vee$ )*

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) = p \wedge \neg q$$

*conjunctive form( $\wedge$ )*

$$p \rightarrow q = \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$$

*contrapositive*

$$p \vee q = \neg p \rightarrow q$$

$$p \wedge q = \neg(p \rightarrow \neg q)$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) = p \rightarrow (q \wedge r) \quad (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (r \rightarrow q) = (p \vee r) \rightarrow q$$

$$(p \rightarrow q) \vee (p \rightarrow r) = p \rightarrow (q \vee r) \quad (p \rightarrow q) \vee (r \rightarrow q) = (p \wedge r) \rightarrow q$$

## Set identities involving subset relations

$$A \subseteq B = (\overline{A} \cup B = U)$$

*disjunctive form( $\cup$ )*

$$\overline{(A \subseteq B)} = (A \cap \overline{B} = U)$$

*conjunctive form( $\cap$ )*

$$A \subseteq B = \overline{B} \subseteq \overline{A}$$

*contrapositive*

$$A \cup B = \overline{A} \subseteq B$$

$$A \cap B = \neg(A \subseteq \overline{B})$$

$$(A \subseteq B) \cap (A \subseteq C) = A \subseteq (B \cap C) \quad (A \subseteq B) \cap (C \subseteq B) = (A \cup C) \subseteq B$$

$$(A \subseteq B) \cup (A \subseteq C) = A \subseteq (B \cup C) \quad (A \subseteq B) \cup (C \subseteq B) = (A \cap C) \subseteq B$$

## Logical equivalences involving biconditional statements

$p \leftrightarrow q = q \leftrightarrow p$	<i>commutative(symetric)</i>
$p \leftrightarrow q = (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow p)$	<i>definition of biconditional</i>
$p \leftrightarrow q = \neg p \leftrightarrow \neg q$	<i>symetricity of biconditional</i>
$p \leftrightarrow q = (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	<i>disjunctive normal form(truth table)</i>
$\quad = (p \vee \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$	<i>conjunctive normal form</i>
$\neg(p \leftrightarrow q) = (p \leftrightarrow \neg q) = (\neg p \leftrightarrow q)$	<i>De Mogan's law for bicondi.</i>

## Set identities involving set equalities

$(A = B) = (B = A)$	<i>commutative(symetric)</i>
$(A = B) = (A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq A)$	<i>definition of set equality</i>
$(A = B) = (\overline{A} = \overline{B})$	<i>symetricity of equality</i>
$(A = B) = (A \wedge B) \vee (\overline{A} \wedge \overline{B})$	<i>disjunctive normal form(Venn diagram)</i>
$\quad = (A \vee \overline{B}) \wedge (\overline{A} \vee B)$	<i>conjunctive normal form</i>
$\neg(A = B) = (A = \overline{B}) = (\overline{A} = B)$	<i>De Mogan's law for set equa.</i>



## 1.4 Arguments and Rules of Inference

**Deductive**( 연역 ) *reasoning*

$\wedge$  **Hypotheses(Premises)**  $\Rightarrow$  **Conclusion**

**Proof:** *valid arguments that*

*establish the truth of logical statements*

**arguments** *a sequence of statement that ends with a **conclusion***

**valid** *the **conclusion** must follow from the truth of*

*the **preceding statements** or **premises** of the argument*

*An **argument** is **valid**, if and only if,*

*it is impossible for **all premises** to be **true** and **conclusion** to be **false***

*or If **all premises** are **true**, then the **conclusion** is true.*

*Rules of inference*

***deducing** new statements from statements we already have.*

## Valid Arguments in Propositional Logic

*argument* a sequence of propositions with

preceding *hypotheses*(*premises*) and finally a *conclusion*.

*argument form*

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n) \rightarrow q.$$

Usually,  $p_i$ 's does not have conjunctions( $\wedge$ )

$$(p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \dots \wedge p_n) \Rightarrow q.$$

*valid argument*

### Def. 1.4.1

$p_1$

$p_2$

...

$\underline{p_n}$

$q.$

**Fig. 1.4.1 Rules of Inference****Modus ponens**

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$$\frac{p}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore q$$

**Modus tollens**

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$$\frac{\neg q}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore \neg p$$

**Addition**

$$\frac{p}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore p \vee q$$

**Simplification**

$$\frac{p \wedge q}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore p$$

**Conjunction**

$$p$$

$$\frac{q}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore p \wedge q$$

**Hypothetical syllogism**

$$p \rightarrow q$$

$$\frac{q \rightarrow r}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore p \rightarrow r$$

**Disjunction syllogism**

$$p \vee q$$

$$\frac{\neg p}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore q$$

**Resolution**

$$p \vee q$$

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore q \vee r$$

## Resolution

*Automatic theorem proving tools*

*Useful rule in reducing the size of propositions*

*Z3 propositional logic*

*coq high-order logic, Inria U. in France, 류석영교수*

$$p \vee q$$

$$\frac{\neg p \vee r}{\therefore q \vee r}$$

$$\therefore q \vee r$$

$$p \vee q$$

$$\frac{\neg p \vee q}{\therefore q}$$

$$\therefore q$$

$$p \vee q$$

$$\frac{\neg p}{\therefore q}$$

$$\therefore q$$

*disjunction syllogism*

## Fallacies in Implication

$$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge q) \not\Rightarrow p$$

*fallacy of affirming the conclusion*

*Implication says **nothing** even though the conclusion is true!*

$$((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg p) \not\Rightarrow \neg q$$

*fallacy of denying the hypothesis*

*Implication says **nothing** when the hypotheses are false!*

## 1.5 Predicates and Quantifiers

**Def. 1.5.1** *predicate (propositional function; 조건명제 )*  $P(x)$  is a boolean function with variable  $x$  in the domain of discourse  $D$ .  
A predicate  $P(x)$  is either **T** or **F** for each  $d \in D$ .

**Exa. 1.5.2** Let  $P(n)$  denotes “ $n$  is an odd integer”. Then

$P(3)$ denotes “3 is an odd integer” is <b>T</b> .	proposition
$P(4)$ denotes “4 is an odd integer” is <b>F</b> .	proposition
$P(n)$ denotes “ $n$ is an odd integer” is ?	<b>not</b> a proposition

**Def. 1.5.1'** *predicate*  $P: D \rightarrow \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\} = \mathbf{B}$ . **B: boolean**  
for each variable  $x \in D: P(x) \in \mathbf{B}$ .

## Quantifiers

*A predicate is not a proposition only if, variables are not fixed.*

*If all the variables are fixed, the predicate becomes a propositions.*

*How can we fix variables?*

*Let **universe(domain) of discourse** is  $D$  for each predicates.*

*Let  $D$  be a set and  $P: D \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ .*

*If  $P(x)$  is true for **all** values of  $x$  in the **universe of discourse**,*

*$\forall x \in D: P(x)$  is true.*

*otherwise  $\forall x P(x)$  is false.*

*$\therefore \forall x \in D: P(x)$  becomes a **proposition**.*

**Def. 1.5.4 Universal quantifier**

“ $\forall x \in U: P(x)$ ” (or “ $\forall x P(x)$ ” for short) is a **proposition** such that  
 “ $P(x)$  (is **T**) for **all** values in the **domain**  $U$ .”

$\forall$  is called **universal** quantifier.

We read “ $\forall x \in U: P(x)$ ” as “for **all**  $x$  in  $U$ ,  $P(x)$  (is **T**).”

**Def. 1.5.9 Existential quantifier**

“ $\exists x \in U: P(x)$ ” (or “ $\exists x P(x)$ ” for short) is a **proposition** such that  
 “There **exists** an element  $x$  in  $U$  such that  $P(x)$  (is **T**).”

$\exists$  is called **existential** quantifier.

We read “ $\exists x \in U: P(x)$ ” as “there **exists**  $x$  in  $U$ ,  $P(x)$ ”.

Let  $U$  be the **universe of domains**. Then

$$\forall x \in U: P(x) = \bigwedge_{d \in U} P(d). \quad \exists x \in U: P(x) = \bigvee_{d \in U} P(d).$$

## Truth set

Let  $P(x)$  be a **predicate** whose universe is  $U$ .

We define the **truth set** of the predicate  $P(x)$ , denoted  $P$ , as follow

$$P = \{x \in U \mid P(x)\}.$$

$$(P = U) \Rightarrow \forall x \in U: P(x).$$

$$(P = \emptyset) \Rightarrow \exists x \in U: \neg P(x).$$

$P \subseteq U$     **membership problem.**

$x \in P$  or  $x \notin P$ .



**function** “ $\forall x \in D P(x)$ ”  $\in B$  (Exa. 1.5.7)

**for**  $d \in D$  **do** **if**  $P(d) \rightarrow \text{skip} \mid \neg P(d) \rightarrow \text{return } F$  **fi** **od**; **return**  $T$ .

**function** “ $\exists x \in D P(x)$ ”  $\in B$  (Exa. 1.5.12)

**for**  $d \in D$  **do** **if**  $P(d) \rightarrow \text{return } T \mid \neg P(d) \rightarrow \text{skip}$  **fi** **od**; **return**  $F$ .

*pseudo code of E. W. Dijkstra*

*do-od and if-fi bracket  $()$ ,  $\{\}$ ,  $[\ ]$*

*dangling-else problem in if-then-else statement*

**if**  $B_1$  **then** **if**  $B_2$  **then**  $S_A$  **else**  $S_B$ .

**for**  $x \in X$  **do** ... **od** structure

**if**  $B_1 \rightarrow \dots \mid B_2 \rightarrow \dots \mid \dots \mid B_n \rightarrow \dots$  **fi**

**Difficult to prove**  $\forall x \in U: P(x)$ , **BUT** An element

$d \in U \exists. \neg P(d)$  is enough to prove  $\neg \forall x \in U: P(x)$

**counterexample** ( 반례 ) of  $\forall x \in U: P(x)$ .

## Binding variables

A variable is said to be **bound**, if the variable binds to

- (1) quantifiers ( $\forall$ ,  $\exists$ ) or
- (2) specific value (in the domain), and

it is said to be **free**, otherwise.

A predicate with **bound variables only** is a proposition but

A predicate with **free variable** is **not** a proposition

**Extension 1.5.1'' predicate**  $P: D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ .

for a  $n$ -tuple variable  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n$ :

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{B}.$$

**scope of quantifier**

*the part of logical expression to which the quantifier is applied*

**Example for scope of variables**

$$\exists x(P(x) \wedge R(x)) \vee \forall xR(x) \equiv \exists x(P(x) \wedge R(x)) \vee \forall yR(y)$$

## Negation of Quantifiers

Let  $P(x)$  be “Every student in CS204 has taken CS101.”

$$\neg \forall x P(x)$$

“It is **not true** that **every** student in CS204 who has taken CS101.”  
is logically equivalent to

“**There is** a student in CS204 who has **not** taken CS101”

$$\exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\therefore \neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) \stackrel{?}{\equiv} \forall x \neg P(x)$$

“It is **not true** that **there's** a student in CS204 who has taken CS101.”  
is logically equivalent to

“**Every** student in CS204 has **not** taken CS101”

**Thm. 1.5.14 De Morgan's Laws for quantified predicates**

$$\neg \forall x P(x) = \exists x \neg P(x), \quad \neg \exists x P(x) = \forall x \neg P(x).$$

**proof** Let  $D$  be a set of discourse. Then (different form text)

$$\neg \forall x P(x) = \neg \bigwedge_{d \in D} P(d) = \bigvee_{d \in D} \neg P(d) = \exists x \neg P(x).$$

$$\neg \exists x P(x) = \neg \bigvee_{d \in D} P(d) \equiv \bigwedge_{d \in D} \neg P(d) = \forall x \neg P(x).$$

**Rules of Inferences****Universal instantiation**

$$\frac{\forall x \in D: P(x)}{\therefore P(d), \text{ if } d \in D}$$

**Existential instantiation**

$$\frac{\exists x \in D: P(x)}{\therefore P(d) \text{ for some } d \in D}$$

**Universal generalization**

$$\frac{P(d) \text{ for every } d \in D}{\therefore \forall x P(x)}$$

**Existential generalization**

$$\frac{P(d) \text{ for some } d \in D}{\therefore \exists x P(x)}$$

**1.6 Nested Quantifier** $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ **function** “ $\forall x \in D \forall y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in \mathbf{B}$  $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$ **for**  $d \in D$  **do****for**  $e \in E$  **do****if**  $P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip} \mid \neg P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{return } F$  **fi****od****od;****return**  $T$ **function** “ $\exists x \in D \exists y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in \mathbf{B}$  $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$ **for**  $(d, e) \in D \times E$  **do****if**  $P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{return } T \mid \neg P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip}$  **fi****od;****return**  $F$

**function** “ $\forall x \in D \exists y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$   
**for**  $d \in D$  **do**  $\forall x(d)$   
     **for**  $e \in E$  **do**  $\exists y(e)$   
         **if**  $P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{break} \mid \neg P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip}$  **fi**  
     **od;**  
     **if**  $P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip} \mid e \notin E \rightarrow \text{return } F$  **fi**  
**od;**  
**return**  $T$

**function** “ $\exists x \in D \forall y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$   
**for**  $d \in D$  **do**  $\exists x(d)$   
     **for**  $e \in E$  **do**  $\forall y(e)$   
         **if**  $P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip} \mid \neg P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{break}$  **fi**  
     **od;**  
     **if**  $e \notin E \rightarrow \text{return } T \mid \neg P(d, e) \rightarrow \text{skip}$  **fi**  
**od;**  
**return**  $F$

**function** “ $\forall x \in D \exists y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$   
 ( $d, \forall$ ) := ( $\text{first}(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{F}$ ); **while**  $\neg \forall$  **do**  $\forall x(\mathbf{d})$   
     ( $e, \exists$ ) := ( $\text{first}(\mathbf{E}), \mathbf{F}$ ); **while**  $\neg \exists$  **do**  $\exists y(\mathbf{e})$   
         **if**  $P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow \exists := \mathbf{T} / \neg P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow (e, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{E})$  **fi**  
     **od**;  
     **if**  $\exists \rightarrow (d, \forall) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{D}) / \neg \exists \rightarrow \text{return } \mathbf{F}$  **fi**  
**od**;  
**return T**

**function** “ $\exists x \in D \forall y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$   
 ( $e, \exists$ ) := ( $\text{first}(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{F}$ ); **while**  $\neg \exists$  **do**  $\exists x(\mathbf{d})$   
     ( $d, \forall$ ) := ( $\text{first}(\mathbf{E}), \mathbf{F}$ ); **while**  $\neg \forall$  **do**  $\forall y(\mathbf{e})$   
         **if**  $P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow (e, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{E}) / \neg P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow \exists := \mathbf{F}$  **fi**  
     **od**;  
     **if**  $\forall \rightarrow \text{return } \mathbf{T} / \neg \forall \rightarrow (d, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{D})$  **fi**  
**od**;  
**return F**

**function** “ $\forall x \in D \exists y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$   
 $(d, \forall) := (\text{first}(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{F}); \underline{\text{do}} \neg \forall \rightarrow$   $\forall x(\mathbf{d})$   
 $(e, \exists) := (\text{first}(\mathbf{E}), \mathbf{F}); \underline{\text{do}} \neg \exists \rightarrow$   $\exists y(\mathbf{e})$   
 $\text{if } P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow \exists := \mathbf{T} / \neg P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow (e, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{E}) \text{ fi}$   
 $\text{od};$   
 $\text{if } \exists \rightarrow (d, \forall) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{D}) / \neg \exists \rightarrow \text{return } \mathbf{F} \text{ fi}$   
 $\text{od};$   
 $\text{return } \mathbf{T}$

**function** “ $\exists x \in D \forall y \in E: P(x, y)$ ”  $\in B$   $\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$   
 $(e, \exists) := (\text{first}(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{F}); \underline{\text{do}} \neg \exists \rightarrow$   $\exists x(\mathbf{d})$   
 $(d, \forall) := (\text{first}(\mathbf{E}), \mathbf{F}); \text{do } \neg \forall \rightarrow$   $\forall y(\mathbf{e})$   
 $\text{if } P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow (e, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{E}) / \neg P(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow \exists := \mathbf{F} \text{ fi}$   
 $\text{od};$   
 $\text{if } \forall \rightarrow \text{return } \mathbf{T} / \neg \forall \rightarrow (d, \exists) := \text{next}^2(\mathbf{D}) \text{ fi}$   
 $\text{od};$   
 $\text{return } \mathbf{F}$