

11 Trees

11.1 Introduction to Trees

Def. 1 A tree $T = (V, E)$ is

a **connected undirected graph with no simple circuits.**
acyclic connected graph.

forest a set of trees.

A vertex of **degree one** is called **leaf**.

Thm. 1 An undirected graph is a **tree**, if and only if,

there is a **unique simple path** between **any two** of its vertices.

proof Assume T is a tree. Since, **connected**, $\forall u, v \in V, \exists \text{path}(u, v)$.

Assume \exists another **path**(u, v). Then \exists **cycle**(u, v). $\therefore \exists$ **unique path**(u, v).

Assume \exists **unique path**(u, v). T is **connected** and **no simple circuit**.

\therefore **unique path** between every two vertices is a **tree**. (another **Def.** of tree)

Def. 2 A **rooted tree** $T_r = (V, E, r)$ is a tree where

one vertex has designated as the **root** ($r \in V$)

and every edge is **directed away** from the root.

parent, children, siblings

ancestor, decendents

leaves, internal vertices

subtree

Recursive definition of rooted tree(see 5.3 Def. 3) in digraph

Def. 3 A rooted tree is called **m-ary tree**,

if every (**internal**) vertex has **no more than m** children.

The tree is called **full m-ary tree**,

if every **internal**(non-leaf) vertex has **exactly n** children.

A 2-ary tree is call **binary tree**.

An orderd rooted tree

children are ordered from left to right

left/right child

left/right subtree

Tree as Models

Computer file system

Hierarchical structure

Family tree

Properties of Trees

Thm. 2 A tree with n vertices has $n-1$ edges. $e = n - 1$.
minimal connections of vertices

Thm. 3 A full m -ary tree with i internal vertices has $n = mi + 1$ vertices.
 and $l = (m-1)i + 1$ leaves.

proof Every vertices except **root** is a child of internal vertices.

$$\therefore n = mi + 1.$$

Since $n = i + l(\text{leaves})$, $i + l = mi + 1$. $\therefore l = (m-1)i + 1$ leaves.

Thm. 4 A full m -ary tree with e , i , n , l .

$$e = n - 1 \qquad n = i + l \qquad n = mi + 1.$$

(1) n vertices has $i = (n-1)/m$ internal vertices and $l = [(m-1)n+1]/m$ leaves.

(2) i internal vertices has $n = mi+1$ vertices and $l = (m-1)i + 1$ leaves.

(3) l leaves has $n = (ml-1)/(m-1)$ vertices and $i = (l-1)/(m-1)$ interior vertices.

*The **level** of a **node** is the **length** of the **path** from **root** to the **node**.*

*The **height** of a **tree** is **maximum** **node** **level**.*

*The m -ary tree with height h is called **balanced**,
if every **leaves** are **level** h or $h-1$.*

***Thm. 5** There are at most m^h leaves in m -ary tree of **height** h .*

***proof** Consider a **full**, **balanced** m -ary tree
and every leaves are of level h .*

It has m^h leaves.

***Col. 1** If an m -ary tree with l leaves has height h , then $h \geq \lceil \log_m l \rceil$.*

*If the tree is **full** and **balanced** $h = \lceil \log_m l \rceil$.*

Thm. 6 Every *tree* has following properties:

1. Any **connected subgraph** is a **tree**.
2. There is a **unique (simple) path** between every pair of vertices.
3. Adding an edge between two vertices create a (simple) cycle.
4. Removing any edge disconnects the tree.
5. If tree has at least two vertices, then it has at least two leaves.
6. The number of vertices is one larger than that of edges.

proof 1. Any subgraph of acyclic graph subgraph is also **acyclic**.

2. There is **at least one** (simple) path, since connected and acyclic.

Assume **two different** simple paths from u to v .

Assume x be the first vertex where the path **diverge**,

y be the next vertex they **share**.

There is a **cycle** from x to y and then y to x .

3. Additional edge $\{u, v\} \cup$ (simple) **path** from u to $v =$ (simple) **cycle**

4. Remove $\{u, v\}$. **Unique** simple path was (u, v) . \therefore Not connected

5. Let (v_1, \dots, v_m) be the **longest** simple path in the tree. Then $m \geq 2$.

$2 < \forall i \leq m, \{v_1, v_i\} \notin E$, since (v_1, \dots, v_i, v_1) is a **cycle**.

$\{u, v_1\} \notin E$ where u is not in the path, since (v_1, \dots, v_m) is the **longest**.

$\therefore v_1$ is a **leaf**. By **symmetric** argument v_m is a **second leaf**.

6. Induction on number of vertices.

$n=1$, no edge. $0 + 1 = 1$. O.K.

Consider $(n+1)$ -vertex tree T and let v be a **leaf** of T .

Deleting v and its incident edge gives a smaller **tree**.

$$(|E| - 1) = (|V| - 1) + 1$$

Adding v and its incident edge gives a larger **tree**. $\therefore |E| = |V| + 1$.

11.2 Application of Trees

Binary Search Tree

procedure search&insert($T = (V, E, r)$: binary Tree, x : item)

if $r \neq \text{null} \rightarrow v := r$;

do $v \neq \text{null} \wedge \text{label}(v) \neq x \rightarrow$

if $x < \text{label}(v) \rightarrow$

if $\text{left child}(v) \neq \text{null} \rightarrow v := \text{left child}(v)$

| $\text{left child}(v) = \text{null} \rightarrow \text{add new vertex } v(\text{label}(x)) \text{ as a left child}$

fi

| $x > \text{label}(v) \rightarrow$

if $\text{right child}(v) \neq \text{null} \rightarrow v := \text{right child}(v)$

| $\text{right child}(v) = \text{null} \rightarrow \text{add new vertex } v(\text{label}(x)) \text{ as a right child}$

od fi fi

| $r = \text{null} \rightarrow \text{add new vertex } v(\text{label}(x)) \text{ as root of the tree}$

fi

label(v) = x .

procedure *search&insert*($T = (V, E, r)$: binary Tree, x : item)

$v := r$;

if $v \neq \text{null}$ \rightarrow

if $x < \text{label}(v)$ \rightarrow **return** *search&insert*(*leftSubtree*(T), x)

 | $x > \text{label}(v)$ \rightarrow **return** *search&insert*(*rightSubtree*(T), x)

 | $x = \text{label}(v)$ \rightarrow **return** “already present”

fi

 | $v = \text{null}$ \rightarrow

$r :=$ add a new vertex with label x ;

return “Not present and inserted”

fi

Decision Trees

*One counterfeit(함량불량) coin in n coins
balance*

Three groups of $\lceil n/3 \rceil$ coins

if first group < second group \rightarrow counterfeit in the first group

| first group = second group \rightarrow counterfeit in the third group

| first group > second group \rightarrow counterfeit in the second group

fi

$\lceil \log_3 n \rceil$ comparisons.

Ex 3. Fig. 3 One counterfeit coin and 7 normal coins.(p730)

$\lceil \log_3 8 \rceil = 2$ comparisons!

The complexity of sorting algorithms

n elements

n! permutations

binary comparisons

n! leaves in the binary decision tree

Height of the decision tree is $\log n!$

*$O(n \log_2 n)$ is **optimal***

*The **lower bound** for sorting based on binary search is $\Omega(n \log_2 n)$*

*The **average bound** for sorting based on binary search is $\Theta(n \log_2 n)$*

Prefix code

26 letters 5 bits ($2^4 < 26 \leq 2^5$)

But more **frequent** letters have **shorter** sequence.

e 00

t 01

w 0001

0001 *w* or *et*

e is a prefix of *w*

A set of sequences (codes) is a **prefix code**, if no sequence in the set is the **prefix** of other sequences in the set.

Full binary tree \leftrightarrow prefix code

Algorithm 2 Huffman coding

procedure *Huffman*(V : set of symbols, $w: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ is a weigh of a symbol)

$F :=$ **for** $a \in V$ **do** make a **tree** with symbol a and weight $w(a)$ **od**

do F is **not** a tree \rightarrow

Find **two minimum** weighted trees T_1 and T_2 ;

Make a **new tree** T with weight $w(T) = w(T_1) + w(T_2)$

and T_1 and T_2 as **subtrees**.

od

internal vertices *weight of the subtree*

leaf *symbol*

See **Fig. 6 Huffman coding** in p 734.

Is the Huffman coding optimal?

11.3 Tree Traversal

Universal Address System in a Rooted Ordered Tree

Label root as 0 .

If Subtree T is labeled as x and n children

then n children of x are labeled as $x.1, x.2, \dots, x.n$.

We use x instead of $0.x$ (omit 0).

Ex 1 rooted tree (**Fig.1** p741)

$0 < 1 < 1.1 < 1.2 < 1.3 < 2 < 3 < 3.1 < 3.1.1 < 3.1.2 < 3.1.2.1 < 3.1.2.2 < 3.1.2.3 < 3.1.2.4 < 3.1.3 < 3.2 < 4 < 4.1 < 5 < 5.1 < 5.1.1 < 5.2 < 5.3$

Prefix code

Lexicographic ordering

$x_1.x_2.\dots.x_n < y_1.y_2.\dots.y_m$, if $\exists i, 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq \forall j < i, x_j = y_j, x_i < y_i$ or
 $n < m, 1 \leq \forall j \leq n, x_j = y_j$.

procedure preorder(*T* with root *r*)

visit r; preorder(*child*₁(*r*)); preorder(*child*₂(*r*)); ...; preorder(*child*_{*n*}(*r*))

end preorder;

0 < 1 < 1.1 < 1.2 < 1.3 < 2 < 3 < 3.1 < 3.1.1 < 3.1.2 < 3.1.2.1 < 3.1.2.2 < 3.1.2.3
< 3.1.2.4 < 3.1.3 < 3.2 < 4 < 4.1 < 5 < 5.1 < 5.1.1 < 5.2 < 5.3

Same as **lexicographic** order

procedure inorder(*T* with root *r*)

inorder(*child*₁(*r*)) *visit r*; inorder(*child*₂(*r*)); ... inorder(*child*_{*n*}(*r*))

end inorder;

1.1 < 1 < 1.2 < 1.3 < 0 < 2 < 3.1.1 < 3.1 < 3.1.2.1 < 3.1.2 < 3.1.2.2 < 3.1.2.3 <
3.1.2.4 < 3.1.3 < 3 < 3.2 < 4.1 < 4 < 5.1 < 5.1.1 < 5 < 5.2 < 5.3

procedure postorder(*T* with root *r*)

postorder(*child*₁(*r*)); postorder(*child*₂(*r*)); ... postorder(*child*_{*n*}(*r*)); *visit r*

end postorder

1.1 < 1.2 < 1.3 < 1 < 2 < 3.1.1 < 3.1.2.1 < 3.1.2.2 < 3.1.2.3 < 3.1.2.4 < 3.1.2 <
3.1.3 < 3.1 < 3.2 < 3 < 4.1 < 4 < 5.1.1 < 5.1 < 5.2 < 5.3 < 5 < 0

Infix Notation $x \oplus y$

binary operation *binary expression tree*

inorder traversal of the expression tree

Ambiguous parentheses $()$

precedence between operators $x \oplus y \otimes z$

$\text{prec}(\uparrow) > \text{prec}(\times) = \text{prec}(/) > \text{prec}(+) = \text{prec}(-)$

$u \uparrow x - y \times z = (u \uparrow x) - (y \times z)$

associativity of operands $x \oplus y \oplus z$

left associative $\times, +$ $x \times y \times z = (x \times y) \times z$

right associative $\uparrow, /, -$ $x \uparrow y \uparrow z = x \uparrow (y \uparrow z)$

Prefix(Polish) Notation $\oplus_n op_1 op_2 \dots op_n$

n-ary operation *n-ary expression tree*

preorder traversal of the expression tree

Postfix(reversed Polish) Notation $op_1 op_2 \dots op_n \oplus_n$

operational stack

*Ambiguous but simple **context-free** grammar for binary infix expressions*

$$E \rightarrow E + E \mid E - E \mid E \times E \mid E / E \mid E \uparrow E \mid a \mid \dots \mid z \mid (E)$$

*Unambiguous **context-free** grammar (without **parentheses**)*

$$E \rightarrow E + T \mid T - E \mid T \times F \mid F / T \mid X \uparrow F \mid a \mid \dots \mid z \mid (E)$$

$$T \rightarrow T \times F \mid F / T \mid X \uparrow F \mid a \mid \dots \mid z \mid (E)$$

$$F \rightarrow X \uparrow F \mid a \mid \dots \mid z \mid (E)$$

$$X \rightarrow a \mid \dots \mid z \mid (E)$$

*Consider **precedence** between operators: for example $u \uparrow x - y \times z$.*

$$\underline{E} \Rightarrow \underline{T} - E \Rightarrow \underline{X} \uparrow F - E \Rightarrow u \uparrow \underline{F} - E \Rightarrow u \uparrow x - \underline{E} \Rightarrow u \uparrow x - \underline{T} \times F \Rightarrow u \uparrow x - y \times \underline{F} \Rightarrow u \uparrow x - y \times z.$$

*Consider **associativity** of operators: for example $x \uparrow y \uparrow z$.*

$$\underline{E} \Rightarrow \underline{X} \uparrow F \Rightarrow x \uparrow \underline{F} \Rightarrow x \uparrow \underline{X} \uparrow F \Rightarrow x \uparrow y \uparrow \underline{F} \Rightarrow x \uparrow y \uparrow z.$$

*Compare **grammar tree** and **expression tree***

11.4 Spanning Trees

Def. 1 Let G be a ugraph. A **spanning tree** of G is a subgraph of G that is **tree** containing **every vertex** of G .

Thm. 1 Every **connected graph** has **spanning tree**.

proof Let T be a **connected spanning** subgraph of G with the **smallest number of edges**.

Suppose T has a cycle $(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n, v_0)$.

Suppose we remove the edge $\{v_n, v_0\}$.

If arbitrary vertices x and y has a **path not** containing the edge (v_n, v_0) ,
 x and y has a path containing **that path**.

If arbitrary vertices x and y has a path **containing** the edge (v_n, v_0) ,
 x and y has a path containing the **path** (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n) .

This is a **contradiction** that T has the **smallest number of edges** and **connected**.

$\therefore T$ is acyclic. $\therefore T$ is a tree.

Alg. 1 Depth-First Search

procedure DFS($G = (V, E)$: connected graph)

$T :=$ Tree with vertex $v \in V$ only;

visit(v);

end DFS;

procedure visit($v \in V$)

for $(v, w) \in E \wedge w \notin T$ **do** add w and (v, w) to T ; visit(w) **od**

end visit

Alg. 2 Breadth-First Search

procedure BFS($G = (V, E)$: connected graph)

$T :=$ Tree with vertex $v \in V$ only; $L :=$ list with vertex $v \in V$;

do L is not empty \rightarrow

remove the first vertex, v , from L ;

for $(v, w) \in E$ **where** $w \notin T \wedge w \notin L$ **do**

add w to the end of L ; add w and (v, w) to T **od od**

end BFS;

11.5 Minimum Spanning Trees

Definition 1 A *minimum spanning tree* in a connected weighted graph is a spanning tree that has the *smallest sum of weight of its edges*.

Algorithm 1 Prim's Algorithm

procedure *Prim*($G = (V, E)$: connected weighted graph)

$T :=$ a minimum weighted edge;

for $i := 1$ to $|V| - 2$ **do**

$e :=$ a **minimum** weighted edge **incident** to a vertex in T
 and **not** forming a simple circuit in T , if added to T ;

$T := T$ with e added

od

end Prim

Algorithm 2 Kruskal's Algorithm

procedure *Kruskal*($G = (V, E)$: connected weighted graph)

$T :=$ empty graph;

for $i := 1$ to $|V| - 1$ *do*

$e :=$ any edge in G with **smallest** weight

that does **not** form a simple circuit in T , if added to T ;

$T := T$ with e added

od